S. 3613, Improving Federa As ordered reported by the Senate C January 31, 2024	_	•	nmental Affairs	on
By Fiscal Year, Millions of Dollars	2024	2024-2029	2024-2034	
Direct Spending (Outlays)	0	0	0	
Revenues	0	0	0	
Increase or Decrease (-) in the Deficit	0	0	0	
Spending Subject to Appropriation (Outlays)	0	1	not estimated	
Increases <i>net direct spending</i> in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2035?	No	Statutory pay-as-you-go procedures apply? No		No
		Mandate Effects		
Increases <i>on-budget deficits</i> in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2035?	No	Contains intergovernmental mandate?		No
		Contains private-sector manda	ins private-sector mandate?	

- S. 3613 would require the facility security committee of each federal building to respond to security recommendations from the Federal Protective Service (FPS) within 90 days. The FPS conducts security assessments of federal facilities and makes recommendations for improvements to each building's security committee. Each committee, which includes representatives from all federal tenants in a nonmilitary facility, decides whether to implement those recommendations.
- S. 3613 would require the FPS to monitor its recommendations and the responses it receives and annually report that information to the Congress. The bill also would require the FPS to report to the Congress within 180 days of enactment on the surveillance technology it recommends be used at federal facilities. Additionally, the Government Accountability Office would need to report to the Congress within five years of enactment on the effectiveness of the bill. The bill's requirements would sunset five years after enactment.

Based on the costs of similar reports, CBO estimates that the required reports would cost \$1 million over the 2024-2029 period. Any spending would be subject to the availability of appropriated funds. Because facility security committees are currently required to respond to the agency's recommendations within 45 days, CBO expects that implementing that requirement would not have a cost.

The CBO staff contact for this estimate is Jeremy Crimm. The estimate was reviewed by H. Samuel Papenfuss, Deputy Director of Budget Analysis.

Phillip L. Swagel

Director, Congressional Budget Office