

## H.R 1450, Treating Tribes and Counties as Good Neighbors Act

As ordered reported by the House Committee or	n Agriculture on May 11, 2023
---	-------------------------------

By Fiscal Year, Millions of Dollars	2023	2023-2028	2023-2033
Direct Spending (Outlays)	0	0	0
Revenues	0	0	0
Increase or Decrease (-) in the Deficit	0	0	0
Spending Subject to Appropriation (Outlays)	0	0	0
ncreases <i>net direct spending</i> in any of the four consecutive 10-year <b>No</b> periods beginning in 2034?		Statutory pay-as-you-go procedu	ures apply? No
		Mandate Effects	
Increases on-budget deficits in any		Contains intergovernmental mar	ndate? No
of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2034?	Νο	Contains private-sector mandate	? <b>No</b>

H.R. 1450 would authorize Indian tribes and counties to retain the proceeds of timber sales under cooperative forestry agreements, also known as good neighbor agreements (GNAs). (Under current law, through October 1, 2023, only states can retain the proceeds of timber sales under GNAs to cover the costs of restoration and protection projects.) The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the Forest Service enter into GNAs with states, federally recognized Indian tribes, and counties to carry out forest, rangeland, and watershed restoration and protection projects on federal land.

Based on information from BLM and the Forest Service, CBO expects that without the authority to retain proceeds, timbers sales under GNAs with tribes and counties would not occur. On that basis, CBO estimates that enacting the bill would not affect the federal budget. If such sales did occur in the absence of that authority, any income is classified in the federal budget as an offsetting receipt (or reduction in direct spending).

On August 10, 2023, CBO transmitted a cost estimate for H.R. 1450, the Treating Tribes and Counties as Good Neighbors Act, as ordered reported by the House Committee on Natural Resources on June 13, 2023. The two bills are similar; the version ordered reported by the House Committee on Agriculture would not extend the authority for participants to retain the proceeds from timber sales. CBO's cost estimates reflect that difference.



The CBO staff contact for this estimate is Lilia Ledezma. The estimate was reviewed by H. Samuel Papenfuss, Deputy Director of Budget Analysis.

Phill h

Phillip L. Swagel Director, Congressional Budget Office