Estimates of the Cost of Federal Credit Programs in 2023

Summary
The federal government supports some private activities by offering credit assistance to individuals and businesses. That assistance is provided through direct loans and guarantees of loans made by private financial institutions. In this report, the Congressional Budget Office estimates the lifetime costs of new loans and loan guarantees that are projected to be issued in 2023. The report shows two kinds of estimates: those currently used in the federal budget, which are made by following the procedures prescribed by the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (FCRA), and those referred to as fair-value estimates, which measure the market value of the government’s obligations. Most of the FCRA estimates were produced by other federal agencies; the FCRA estimates for the largest federal credit programs and all of the fair-value estimates were produced by CBO.

Using FCRA procedures, CBO estimates that new loans and loan guarantees issued in 2023 would result in savings of $41.1 billion. But using the fair-value approach, CBO estimates that those loans and guarantees would have a lifetime cost of $51.1 billion. About three-quarters of the difference between those amounts is attributable to three sources:

- The guarantees that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are projected to make in 2023, which, analyzed on a FCRA basis, would save the federal government $33.8 billion but would cost $3.9 billion on a fair-value basis;
- The Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD’s) loan and loan guarantee programs, which are projected to save $10.3 billion on a FCRA basis but to cost $12.4 billion on a fair-value basis; and
- The Department of Education’s student loan programs, which are projected to save $1.4 billion on a FCRA basis but to cost $7.7 billion on a fair-value basis.

Federal Credit Programs
For this report, CBO analyzed the 118 programs through which the federal government provides credit assistance. The total amount of federal credit assistance projected for 2023 is $2.2 trillion, consisting of new direct loans that total $171 billion and new loan guarantees that cover $2.0 trillion in loans. Just a few programs—namely, those offering mortgage guarantees and student loans—are projected to provide nearly 90 percent of total federal credit assistance. The largest federal credit programs by far are the guarantees of mortgage-backed securities provided by the government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Together, the two GSEs are projected to provide $1.3 trillion in new guarantees in 2023.

Discretionary programs, which are funded through annual appropriation acts, account for 102 of the 118 programs analyzed and 24 percent of the projected dollar value of loans and guarantees. The largest discretionary programs are the mortgage programs administered by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA, which is part of HUD) and the Department of Agriculture’s Rural Housing Service (RHS), the

1. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have been in federal conservatorship since September 2008. CBO treats the two GSEs as government entities in its budget estimates because, under the terms of the conservatorships, the federal government retains operational control and effective ownership of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. For more discussion, see Congressional Budget Office, Effects of Recapitalizing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Through Administrative Actions (August 2020), www.cbo.gov/publication/56496; and Congressional Budget Office, The Effects of Increasing Fannie Mae’s and Freddie Mac’s Capital (October 2016), www.cbo.gov/publication/52089.

Notes: Unless this report indicates otherwise, all years referred to are federal fiscal years, which run from October 1 to September 30 and are designated by the calendar year in which they end. Numbers in the text, table, and figure may not add up to totals because of rounding. For the most part, this report uses the names for departments, agencies, and programs that are given in Office of Management and Budget, Budget of the U.S. Government, Fiscal Year 2023: Credit Supplement (April 2022), www.govinfo.gov/app/details/BUDGET-2023-FCS.
small-business loans provided by the Small Business Administration (SBA), and loans for advanced vehicle manufacturing provided by the Department of Energy.

The other 16 programs are mandatory; that is, lawmakers determine spending for them by setting eligibility rules and other criteria in authorizing legislation rather than by appropriating specific amounts each year. The largest of the mandatory programs analyzed are Fannie Mae’s and Freddie Mac’s guarantees of mortgage-backed securities, the Department of Education’s student loan programs, and the mortgage guarantee program administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).

To compute the estimates in this analysis, CBO used its own projections of the volume of loans and cash flows for the largest credit programs. Specifically, the agency used its own estimates for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the FHA’s single-family mortgage and reverse mortgage guarantee programs, VA’s mortgage guarantee program, and the Department of Education’s student loan programs. Making those projections is a routine part of preparing CBO’s baseline budget projections because they have the potential to have a significant impact on the federal budget.2

For smaller federal credit programs, CBO relied on other federal agencies’ projections of the volume of loans and cash flows to compute the estimates for this analysis.3 (CBO usually takes that same approach when preparing its baseline budget projections, analyzing the President’s budget proposals, or analyzing other spending proposals.)

The projected volume of loans and cash flows may change each year because of policy changes, the availability of more recent data, new estimation methods, changes in economic conditions, or changing characteristics of participants in programs. Because of such factors, CBO and the agencies that produce FCRA estimates have changed many of their projections for 2022 since CBO last published its estimates of the costs of federal credit programs in October 2021.4 Those revisions have influenced cash flow estimates for new loans and guarantees in 2023.

The FCRA and Fair-Value Approaches

In the analysis underlying this report, CBO estimated the lifetime cost of federal credit programs using two approaches. The first follows the procedures prescribed by FCRA, which the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) currently uses for most credit programs in the federal budget. The second, called the fair-value approach, estimates the market value of the government’s obligations by accounting for market risk. Market risk is the component of financial risk that remains even after investors have diversified their portfolios as much as possible; it arises from shifts in macroeconomic conditions, such as productivity and employment, and from changes in expectations about future macroeconomic conditions.5 For taking on market risk, investors demand greater compensation than they would expect to receive from investing in Treasury securities, which are regarded as risk free. The additional compensation—the difference between the expected return on the investment with market risk and the expected return on Treasury securities—is called the risk premium.6

One common method for estimating the fair value of a direct loan or loan guarantee is to use market-based discount rates to calculate its present value. (CBO uses that method for all housing and real estate programs in this report.)7 The present value is a single number that expresses the flows of current and projected future income or payments in terms of an equivalent lump sum received or paid at a specified time. That number depends on the discount rate, or rate of interest, that is used to translate future cash flows into current dollars.

2. Those baseline projections, which CBO usually issues several times each year, reflect the assumption that current laws will generally remain unchanged. In accordance with section 257 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177), CBO bases its projections of discretionary spending for individual accounts on the most recent funding and applies the appropriate inflation rate to project funding for future years.

3. For discretionary credit programs, the projections of cash flows prepared by other agencies reflect the Administration’s proposed funding for 2023.


5. For further discussion, see Congressional Budget Office, How CBO Produces Fair-Value Estimates of the Cost of Federal Credit Programs: A Primer (July 2018), www.cbo.gov/publication/53886.


7. For additional information about the fair-value cost of mortgage obligations, see Michael Falkenheim and Jeffrey Perry, A Model for Pricing Federal Housing Finance Obligations, Working Paper 2022-06 (Congressional Budget Office, April 2022), www.cbo.gov/publication/57844.
For FCRA estimates, the projected interest rates on Treasury securities with corresponding terms to maturity are used as the discount rates. By contrast, fair-value estimates are calculated using discounting methods that are consistent with the way the loan or loan guarantee would be priced in a competitive market. The difference between the FCRA and fair-value discount rates can be interpreted as a risk premium. In general, the cost of a direct loan or a loan guarantee reported in the federal budget under FCRA procedures is lower than the fair-value cost that private institutions would assign to similar credit assistance on the basis of market prices.

An alternative method to obtain fair-value subsidy costs that is consistent with that first method is to adjust projected cash flows and then discount them using the interest rates on Treasury securities. Under the alternative method, the projected default and recovery amounts are multiplied by a factor called a loss multiple to directly incorporate the market risk into the cash flows. The multiple is equal to the ratio of the risk premium of a loan to the loss rate of the loan (calculated as the default rate times one minus the recovery rate, where the recovery rate is equal to the percentage of defaults that are subsequently recovered). The loss multiple is an alternative measure of the compensation that investors require to take on market risk. CBO uses that method for all student, commercial, and consumer loan programs in this report.

Adjusting discount rates and adjusting cash flows using the methods described above are two ways of approximating market prices. The choice between the two is a question of accuracy and ease of implementation. The loss–multiple method better fits the data for federal student, consumer, and commercial loans and is likely to be more accurate when extrapolated to longer maturities. In addition, the loss–multiple method is more sensitive to special features of federal credit programs, such as very long maturities and nonstandard amortization schedules. For housing and real estate programs, maturities of loans and guarantees made through government programs are like those in the private sector, and the adjusted-discount-rate and loss–multiple methods are likely to generate similar results. Therefore, CBO continues to use the adjusted-discount-rate method for those programs because it is easier to implement.

Both the FCRA method and the fair-value method are examples of accrual accounting. Under accrual accounting, unlike cash accounting, the estimated present value of credit programs’ expenses and related receipts are recorded when the legal obligation is first made rather than when subsequent cash transactions occur. In CBO’s view, fair-value estimates are a more comprehensive measure than FCRA estimates of the costs of federal credit programs, and thus they help lawmakers better understand the advantages and drawbacks of various policies.

For comparative purposes, FCRA estimates are included alongside the fair-value estimates in this analysis. The differences between the two sets of estimates—which are based on the same projected cash flows—highlight the effect of incorporating market risk into the costs of federal credit programs.

**Projected Cost of Federal Credit Programs Under Both Approaches**

Using FCRA procedures, CBO estimates that the $2.2 trillion in new loans and loan guarantees projected to be issued by the federal government in 2023 would generate budgetary savings of $41.1 billion over their lifetime and thus reduce the deficit (see Table 1). Using fair-value procedures, CBO estimates that those loans and guarantees would have a lifetime cost of $51.1 billion and thus add to the deficit.

For every program that CBO analyzed, the projected fair-value subsidy rate is higher than the projected FCRA subsidy rate—about 4.2 percentage points higher, on average. (The subsidy rate is the cost divided by the amount disbursed; a positive subsidy rate indicates a

---


10. About 60 percent of that credit assistance would be provided by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Because CBO considers them to be federally owned and controlled, when preparing its baseline budget projections, the agency treats their loan guarantees as federal commitments and accounts for them on a fair-value basis. By contrast, OMB treats those entities as private companies, and in the federal budget, it generally displays only the cash transactions between them and the Treasury. See Congressional Budget Office, *Accounting for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in the Federal Budget* (September 2018), www.cbo.gov/publication/54475. For other credit programs analyzed in this report, both CBO and OMB account for budgetary costs using the methods prescribed by FCRA.
## Table 1.

### Projected Costs of Federal Credit Programs in 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By Lending Category</th>
<th>Number of Programs</th>
<th>Obligations or Commitments (Billions of dollars)</th>
<th>Subsidy Rate (Percent)*</th>
<th>Subsidy (Billions of dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FCRA Estimate</td>
<td>Fair-Value Estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Real Estate Loans</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,915</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Loans</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Loans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Loans</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Lending Categories</strong></td>
<td><strong>118</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,172</strong></td>
<td><strong>-1.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By Department or Agency</th>
<th>Number of Programs</th>
<th>Obligations or Commitments (Billions of dollars)</th>
<th>Subsidy Rate (Percent)*</th>
<th>Subsidy (Billions of dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,288</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Business Administration</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Assistance</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Export-Import Bank</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Departments and Agencies</strong></td>
<td><strong>118</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,172</strong></td>
<td><strong>-1.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Fair-value estimates differ from FCRA estimates in that they account for market risk—the component of financial risk that remains even with a well-diversified portfolio. Market risk arises from shifts in macroeconomic conditions, such as productivity and employment, and from changes in expectations about future macroeconomic conditions.

For discretionary programs, the projections of cash flows prepared by other agencies reflect the Administration’s proposed funding for 2023.

The table provides estimates for every program (except for consolidation loans issued by the Department of Education) for which 2023 information is provided in Office of Management and Budget, *Budget of the U.S. Government, Fiscal Year 2023: Credit Supplement* (April 2022), [www.govinfo.gov/app/details/BUDGET-2023-FCS](http://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/BUDGET-2023-FCS). CBO has added guarantees by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

Most of the obligations, commitments, and FCRA estimates shown are from the Office of Management and Budget. The exceptions are estimates for student loans, which are administered by the Department of Education, and for programs related to single-family mortgages administered by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Federal Housing Administration in the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); those estimates were made by CBO. CBO excludes guarantees provided through Ginnie Mae and secondary market guarantees provided by the Small Business Administration (SBA) from its estimate of total credit assistance because they are incremental guarantees on loans already included in the totals for loans guaranteed by HUD and the SBA.

The table excludes consolidation loans issued by the Department of Education.

FCRA = Federal Credit Reform Act; * = between zero and $50 million.

a. The subsidy rate is the cost of a program, calculated on either a FCRA or fair-value basis, divided by the amount disbursed. A positive subsidy rate indicates a cost to the government, and a negative rate indicates budgetary savings.

b. Includes the Departments of Commerce, Health and Human Services, Homeland Security, the Interior, State, and the Treasury, as well as the Environmental Protection Agency.
government subsidy and therefore a cost to the government, and a negative rate indicates budgetary savings.)¹¹

Weighted by the amount of the programs’ credit, the average subsidy rate is −1.9 percent on a FCRA basis and 2.4 percent on a fair-value basis.

The difference between the fair-value subsidy rate and FCRA subsidy rate varies considerably by program. The largest difference, about 20 percentage points, is between the subsidy rates for the Department of the Treasury’s bond guarantee program for community development financial institutions; that difference reflects the high degree of market risk in that type of lending. For lending programs subject to less market risk, the difference is much smaller—for instance, the fair-value subsidy rate for housing and real estate loans is just 3.6 percentage points higher than the FCRA subsidy rate.

The broad category of lending with the largest difference between the FCRA subsidy rate and the fair-value subsidy rate is student loans. Under FCRA procedures, those loans generate greater budgetary savings per dollar lent than most other federal credit assistance does; under the fair-value approach, most of those savings become costs.

Although most programs that have a negative subsidy rate under FCRA procedures have a positive subsidy rate under the fair-value approach, some subsidy rates are estimated to be negative under the fair-value approach. That is the case for the Department of Education’s PLUS loan program for parents of undergraduate students, the Department of Agriculture’s farm ownership loans and water and waste disposal loans, and several smaller programs.

In principle, programs with a negative fair-value subsidy rate should be rare, because such a rate should represent a profitable opportunity for a private financial institution to provide credit on the same or better terms. But negative fair-value subsidy rates could arise in situations that private entities might not find attractive—if, for example, there were barriers to entry (such as the need for private lenders to incur large fixed costs to enter a particular credit market) or if the profit opportunity was expected to be short-lived. Furthermore, in some cases, such as for student loans, the federal government has tools to collect from delinquent borrowers that private lenders do not have, giving federal programs an advantage over private-sector competitors. Another possibility is that a fair-value subsidy rate might be estimated to be negative because of an error in one of the factors used to calculate the rate; those factors could include an underestimate of the appropriate risk premium because of a lack of good market proxies or an understatement of the true cost of a program because administrative costs are not included in the calculation.

On a FCRA basis, for loans and loan guarantees projected to be issued in 2023, all discretionary credit programs, considered together, are projected to save $8.4 billion and all mandatory credit programs, $32.7 billion. Those savings are, respectively, $3.3 billion less and $4.1 billion more than the savings that were projected last year for 2022.

On a fair-value basis, for loans and loan guarantees issued in 2023, discretionary programs are projected to cost $30.2 billion and mandatory programs $20.9 billion. Those costs are, respectively, $0.3 billion less and $7.2 billion less than the costs that were projected last year for 2022.

Of the 102 discretionary credit programs, 53 have a subsidy rate that is estimated to be zero or negative on a FCRA basis in 2023. CBO estimates that on a fair-value basis, 41 of those programs have a positive subsidy rate and thus result in a cost to the federal government.¹² Of the 16 mandatory programs, 10 have a subsidy rate that is estimated to be zero or negative on a FCRA basis in 2023. CBO estimates that on a fair-value basis, 5 of those programs have a positive subsidy rate and thus result in a cost to the federal government.

Projected Costs of Particular Programs Under Both Approaches

For ease of reference, CBO has divided the loans and loans guarantees that it analyzed into four categories: housing and real estate loans, student loans, commercial loans, and consumer loans. In the discussion that follows, CBO presents the current projections for fiscal year 2023 and compares them with the projections for

¹¹ The budgetary cost is calculated by multiplying the size of the commitment or obligation by the subsidy rate, so programs with high subsidy rates do not necessarily have the largest total budgetary impact. For example, under FCRA, the Department of Homeland Security’s community disaster loan program has the highest subsidy rate (78.9 percent) but a budgetary cost of only $42 million. By contrast, VA’s mortgage guarantee program has a much lower subsidy rate (1.0 percent) but is projected to cost $2.6 billion—more than any other credit program.

¹² In this analysis, a subsidy rate was deemed to be zero if it fell between −0.1 percent and 0.1 percent. See Supplemental Table 2, posted along with this report at www.cbo.gov/publication/58031.
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2022 that it published in October 2021. For discretionary programs, the outcomes will depend on the appropriation actions that were taken for 2022 and will be taken for 2023. ( Appropriations for 2022 were enacted after CBO’s report was issued last year and were not reflected in those estimates.)

Housing and Real Estate Loans

In CBO’s projections, most of the federal government’s credit assistance in 2023 is provided by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ($1.3 trillion in mortgage guarantees). The two GSEs primarily buy mortgages from lenders and pool the mortgages to create mortgage-backed securities, which they guarantee against default and sell to investors. Because the GSEs are currently in federal conservatorship, CBO regards those loan guarantees as governmental activities; the Administration does not. Other housing and real estate programs include mortgage guarantees provided by HUD ($325 billion), VA ($265 billion), and RHS ($31 billion). Of the $325 billion in loan guarantees provided by HUD, $294 billion is attributable to guarantees of single-family mortgages provided through the FHA.

All told, the federal government’s credit assistance in the form of housing and real estate loans and guarantees is projected to amount to $1.9 trillion in 2023, or 88 percent of the projected $2.2 trillion in total credit assistance. Even without considering the GSEs, this category accounts for the bulk of federal credit assistance. If the GSEs are excluded, federal credit assistance in this category is projected to amount to $627 billion in 2023, or 71 percent of the smaller total ($884 billion).

The federal government also provides guarantees through the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae, which is part of HUD) for securities that are themselves backed by federally guaranteed mortgages, including mortgages guaranteed by the FHA and VA. In CBO’s projections, guarantees provided through Ginnie Mae amount to $570 billion in 2023. However, CBO has excluded those guarantees from its estimate of total credit assistance because they are incremental guarantees on loans already included in the totals for loans guaranteed by the FHA, VA, and other federal housing guarantors. CBO estimates that the fair-value subsidy rate for Ginnie Mae is effectively zero.

Projected Subsidies. Calculated on a FCRA basis, the average subsidy rate for housing and real estate programs in 2023 is estimated to be −2.2 percent, and the lifetime budgetary savings are projected to be $41.7 billion. Subsidy rates vary considerably among the individual housing and real estate programs, from −26.3 percent for VA’s Vendee Loan program to 48.9 percent for RHS’s Multifamily Housing Revitalization program (which offers second mortgages to finance the repair and rehabilitation of multifamily housing projects).

Calculated on a fair-value basis, the average subsidy rate for housing and real estate programs in 2023 is estimated to be 1.4 percent, and the lifetime cost is projected to be $27.6 billion. The difference in budgetary impact between the FCRA and fair-value estimates is thus $69.4 billion (see Figure 1).

CBO also examined how sensitive those fair-value estimates were to a variation of plus or minus 10 percent in the estimated risk premium. The resulting lifetime cost of the federal credit assistance provided by housing and real estate programs ranged from $22.0 billion to $33.3 billion, and the fair-value subsidy rate varied by plus or minus 0.3 percentage points from the central estimate of 1.4 percent.


14. For further discussion, see Congressional Budget Office, Ginnie Mae and the Securitization of Federally Guaranteed Mortgages (January 2022), www.cbo.gov/publication/57176.

15. Those estimates include the FCRA estimate of the budgetary costs of loan guarantees made by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Excluding those guarantees, the average subsidy rate for other housing and real estate loans is −1.3 percent, and the lifetime budgetary savings are projected to be $7.9 billion.

16. More than half of that difference is attributable to the loan guarantees made by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. When making its baseline projections, CBO estimates the cost of those loan guarantees on a fair-value basis, whereas for other housing and real estate credit programs, CBO follows the procedures prescribed by FCRA. Excluding loans made and guaranteed by the GSEs, the average fair-value subsidy rate for housing and real estate loans is 3.8 percent, and the estimated cost of housing and real estate credit programs is $23.7 billion, resulting in a $31.6 billion difference in budgetary impact under FCRA and fair-value accounting.

17. CBO used 10 percent differences partly because most annual shifts in the risk premium for stocks are less than 10 percent; differences amounting to 20 percent would have larger effects than those reported here, although those differences would not necessarily be twice as large.
### Differences Between FCRA and Fair-Value Estimates of Subsidies in 2023

#### Billions of Dollars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By Lending Category</th>
<th>FCRA Estimate</th>
<th>Fair-Value Estimate</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Real Estate Loans</td>
<td>-41.7</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Loans</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Loans</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Loans</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>-41.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>51.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>92.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By Department or Agency</th>
<th>FCRA Estimate</th>
<th>Fair-Value Estimate</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac</td>
<td>-33.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Business Administration</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Assistance</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Export-Import Bank</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>-41.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>51.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>92.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Fair-value estimates differ from FCRA estimates in that they account for market risk—the component of financial risk that remains even with a well-diversified portfolio. Market risk arises from shifts in macroeconomic conditions, such as productivity and employment, and from changes in expectations about future macroeconomic conditions.

For discretionary programs, the projections of cash flows prepared by other agencies reflect the Administration’s proposed funding for 2023.

Most of the FCRA estimates shown are from the Office of Management and Budget. The exceptions are estimates for student loans, which are administered by the Department of Education, and for programs related to single-family mortgages administered by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Federal Housing Administration in the Department of Housing and Urban Development; those estimates were made by CBO.

The figure excludes consolidation loans issued by the Department of Education.

FCRA = Federal Credit Reform Act; * = between zero and $50 million.

a. Includes the Departments of Commerce, Health and Human Services, Homeland Security, the Interior, State, and the Treasury, as well as the Environmental Protection Agency.
Comparison With Last Year’s Projections. The projected subsidy rates for 2023 are similar to those estimated for 2022. The average subsidy rate for credit assistance for housing and real estate, excluding what is provided through the GSEs, is projected to increase by 0.3 percentage points on a FCRA basis and remain basically unchanged on a fair-value basis from 2022 to 2023. Including the GSEs’ loan guarantees, the subsidy rate is projected to decrease by 0.2 percentage points on a FCRA basis and by 0.1 percentage point on a fair-value basis.

The projected budgetary savings in 2023 from the GSEs’ mortgage guarantees are $4.0 billion more on a FCRA basis than the savings that were projected last year for 2022. The projected budgetary costs on a fair-value basis are $1.6 billion less on a fair-value basis than the costs that were projected last year for 2022. Those differences are driven mainly by a decrease of 0.4 percentage points in the projected subsidy rate on a FCRA basis (resulting in a $4.7 billion decrease in subsidy costs) and a decrease of 0.1 percentage point on a fair-value basis (resulting in a $1.4 billion decrease in subsidy costs). The changes in the subsidy rates are the result of increases to the GSEs’ guarantee fees made as part of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act.  

The projected budgetary savings in 2023 from the FHA’s single-family mortgage guarantee program are $1.2 billion less on a FCRA basis than the savings that were projected last year for 2022. The projected budgetary costs on a fair-value basis are $0.9 billion more on a fair-value basis than the costs that were projected last year for 2022. A decomposition of the changes reveals that they are driven mainly by an increase of 0.4 percentage points in the subsidy rate on both a FCRA basis (resulting in a $1.1 billion increase in subsidy costs) and a fair-value basis (resulting in a $1.0 billion increase in subsidy costs)—though projected credit obligations decreased by $2 billion. The increase in the subsidy rate is the result of changes in CBO’s forecast of interest rates and the composition of expected guarantees, which combined to generate a small increase in the expected costs of defaults (net of recoveries) and a small decrease in guarantee fees collected.

The projected budgetary cost of VA’s home loan guarantees in 2023 is $0.2 billion less on a FCRA basis and $0.6 billion less on a fair-value basis than the cost for 2022 that was projected last year. Each decrease is the result of both a small decrease in the projected amount of credit obligations (from $268 billion in 2022 to $265 billion in 2023) and a small decrease in the estimated FCRA and fair-value subsidy rates stemming largely from an increase in the expected amount of fees collected on those guarantees.

Student Loans

The Department of Education’s student loan programs provide several types of loans—subsidized Stafford loans (which are available to undergraduate students), unsubsidized Stafford loans (which are available to undergraduate and graduate students), and PLUS loans (which are available to parents and to graduate students). Those programs are projected to account for $85 billion of federal credit in 2023.

CBO uses a hybrid approach to separately estimate the fair-value subsidies for the portion of each student loan program with borrowers in fixed-payment repayment plans and income-driven repayment (IDR) plans. For borrowers in fixed-payment repayment plans, CBO uses the loss-multiple approach to estimate the subsidy rate on a fair-value basis. For borrowers in IDR plans, CBO’s fair-value estimates incorporate an adjustment to the projection of wages.

IDR plans tie required payments to borrowers’ incomes and provide loan forgiveness after a certain period. Those plans involve more market risk than fixed-payment repayment plans because the required payments depend on borrowers’ income and because borrowers may be eligible to have their unpaid balances forgiven. When the economy performs poorly, borrowers’ earnings are more likely to decrease, lowering the required payments. Those reduced payments will eventually lead to more loan forgiveness. (That additional risk is partly offset because borrowers in IDR plans are less likely than borrowers in fixed-payment repayment plans to default on their loans.) To develop an adjustment for IDR plans, CBO applied methods from academic studies that estimate the financial value of required payments that are a function


19. The new, higher interest rate forecast and the change in composition increase the volume of mortgages that are expected to default, which increases the present value of expected costs of defaults (net of recoveries) on the guarantees offered by the FHA.

20. CBO now estimates obligations in 2022 to be $305 billion, which is more than both the amount that CBO projected last year for 2022 and the amount that it now projects for 2023.
of future wages. Those studies developed methods to adjust projections of future wages on the basis of their relationship with stock prices.

**Projected Subsidies.** Calculated on a FCRA basis, the average subsidy rate for the Department of Education’s student loan programs in 2023 is estimated to be −1.7 percent, and the lifetime budgetary savings are projected to be $1.4 billion. FCRA subsidy rates vary considerably among the individual programs, from −29.3 percent for the PLUS loan program for parents to 13.7 percent for the subsidized Stafford loan program. In CBO’s assessment, the difference is explained by four key factors:

- The average interest rate in the subsidized Stafford loan program is 4.9 percent, whereas the average rate in the PLUS loan program for parents is 7.4 percent.
- Subsidized Stafford loans accrue no interest while the borrower is enrolled in school at least half time or during other periods of deferment, whereas the PLUS loans for parents begin to accrue interest immediately after origination.
- Student borrowers are generally eligible for most IDR plans, the most generous of which require annual payments of 10 percent of the borrower’s discretionary income and forgive outstanding balances after 20 years. Although parent borrowers are eligible for IDR plans, the most generous of which require annual payments of 10 percent of the borrower’s discretionary income and forgive outstanding balances after 20 years. Although parent borrowers are eligible for IDR plans, the most generous of which require annual payments of 10 percent of the borrower’s discretionary income and forgive outstanding balances after 20 years.

Calculated on a fair-value basis, the average subsidy rate for student loans is projected to increase by 0.2 percentage points, from −1.9 percent in 2022 to −1.7 percent in 2023, resulting in a $0.2 billion decrease in projected budgetary savings. Changes in subsidy rates varied for individual programs, from an increase of 2.3 percentage points (resulting in a $178 million increase in subsidy costs) for the subsidized Stafford loan program to a decrease of 0.5 percentage points (resulting in a $148 million decrease in subsidy costs) for the unsubsidized Stafford loan program for undergraduate students.

Calculated on a fair-value basis, the average subsidy rate for student loans is projected to decrease by 5.1 percentage points, from 14.2 percent in 2022 to 9.1 percent in 2023, and the projected cost of student loans in 2023 is $4.9 billion less than what was projected last year for 2022. Some of that decrease is due to a change in the types of expected losses that CBO considered subject to market risk. Last year, to construct its fair-value estimates for 2022, CBO applied a loss multiple to expected losses on a FCRA basis, the projected subsidy rates for 2023 are similar to those estimated for 2022. The average subsidy rate for student loans is projected to increase by 0.2 percentage points, from −1.9 percent in 2022 to −1.7 percent in 2023, resulting in a $0.2 billion decrease in projected budgetary savings. Changes in subsidy rates varied for individual programs, from an increase of 2.3 percentage points (resulting in a $178 million increase in subsidy costs) for the subsidized Stafford loan program to a decrease of 0.5 percentage points (resulting in a $148 million decrease in subsidy costs) for the unsubsidized Stafford loan program for undergraduate students.


22. Under deferment, a borrower may temporarily stop making payments on a student loan, usually without interest accruing on the balance of subsidized loans.

23. The IDR plan available to parent borrowers requires annual payments of 20 percent of discretionary income and forgives outstanding balances after 25 years.
have almost no recoveries. In its projections for 2023, CBO did not apply a market risk adjustment to those defaults. (If CBO had included those defaults in its calculations of expected losses subject to market risk, the fair-value subsidy rate would have been 3.2 percentage points higher.)

Most of the other changes to CBO’s estimates of subsidy rates are explained by changes made to projections of the following factors: interest rates (which affect the interest rates paid by borrowers and the rates used to discount cash flows), the volume of loans defaulted and the recovery rate on those defaults, and the income of borrowers in IDR plans.

**Commercial Loans**

The federal government provides assistance to businesses in the form of direct loans and guarantees. That assistance to commercial entities is projected to total $173 billion in 2023. Most of it would be provided through the SBA ($58 billion), the Department of Agriculture ($32 billion), and international assistance programs ($30 billion). The SBA also guarantees securities that are themselves backed by federally guaranteed loans, but CBO has excluded those guarantees from its estimate of total credit assistance because they are incremental guarantees on loans already included in the totals for loans guaranteed by the SBA. CBO estimates that the fair-value subsidy rate for those guarantees is effectively zero.

**Projected Subsidies.** Calculated on a FCRA basis, the average subsidy rate for commercial loan programs in 2023 is estimated to be 1.2 percent, and the lifetime budgetary cost is projected to be $2.1 billion. The positive subsidy rate and the net cost for such programs stem mainly from the Department of Energy’s loans for advanced vehicle manufacturing, which are projected to cost $2.0 billion in 2023.

Half of the commercial loan programs have a subsidy rate that is zero or negative; those programs are projected to save the federal government $1.5 billion. Of those savings, more than 80 percent is attributable to the Export-Import Bank’s long-term guarantees, the Farm Service Agency’s direct loans for farm ownership, the International Development Finance Corporation’s direct loan program, and the Department of Agriculture’s Federal Financing Bank and Treasury electric loans (which are used to finance facilities that generate, transmit, or distribute electricity).

Calculated on a fair-value basis, the average subsidy rate for commercial loan programs in 2023 is estimated to be 9.1 percent, and the lifetime cost is projected to be $15.7 billion. More than half of the projected cost results from the Department of Energy’s loans for advanced vehicle manufacturing ($3.8 billion), direct loans made by the Department of Transportation under the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA; $1.5 billion), and three programs administered by the SBA: 7(a) loan guarantees for small businesses ($1.9 billion), 504 loan guarantees for debentures (a type of security) issued through certified development companies ($1.1 billion), and 504 loan guarantees for commercial real estate refinances ($0.9 billion). The difference in budgetary impact between the FCRA and fair-value estimates for commercial loan programs is $13.6 billion.

When CBO varied the loss multiples for commercial loans by plus or minus 0.5, the resulting cost on a fair-value basis ranged from $11.8 billion to $19.6 billion. Similarly, the fair-value subsidy rate varied by plus or minus 2.3 percentage points from the central estimate of 9.1 percent.

**Comparison With Last Year’s Projections.** Calculated on a FCRA basis, the average subsidy rate for commercial loans is projected to increase from 0.5 percent in 2022 to 1.2 percent in 2023, and the budgetary cost projected for 2023 is $1.3 billion more than what was projected last year for 2022. The subsidy cost for six new programs in 2023 is −$57 million, meaning that those programs generate budgetary savings.

The increase in the FCRA subsidies for commercial loans is driven mainly by increases in both the subsidy rate and projected credit obligations for the Department of Energy’s loans for advanced vehicle manufacturing. A 10.2 percentage-point increase in the FCRA subsidy rate—primarily due to a large increase in the projected default rate—raised the projected budgetary cost of the program by $1.1 billion on a FCRA basis. That effect was magnified by an increase of $5.9 billion in proposed credit obligations, from $6.9 billion in 2022 to $12.8 billion in 2023 (an increase of $0.5 billion in subsidy costs). In all, the projected budgetary cost of the program increased by $1.6 billion on a FCRA basis. The projected cost of other existing programs in 2023

---

24. The Administration now projects obligations in 2022 to be $4.9 billion, which is significantly less than both the amount projected in the 2022 budget and the amount that the Administration has proposed for 2023.
is $170 million less than the cost projected last year for 2022 on a FCRA basis.

Calculated on a fair-value basis, the average subsidy rate for commercial loans is projected to decrease from 11.7 percent in 2022 to 9.1 percent in 2023, and the projected cost of those programs in 2023 is $1.1 billion less than the cost projected last year for 2022. New programs in 2023 account for $84 million of the subsidy cost on a fair-value basis.

The decrease in the fair-value subsidies for commercial loans is driven mainly by changes in the projected credit obligations and subsidy rates for two SBA programs. First, a decrease of $8.0 billion in proposed credit obligations (from $9.5 billion in 2022 to $1.5 billion in 2023) for the disaster loan program lowered the projected budgetary cost of the program by $2.5 billion on a fair-value basis. Those effects were magnified by a 19.0 percentage-point decrease in the fair-value subsidy rate, which was primarily due to a large decrease in the default rate and results in a $1.3 billion decrease in estimated subsidy costs. In all, the projected budgetary cost of the program decreased by $3.7 billion on a fair-value basis.

Second, the fair-value subsidy rate for the 7(a) loan guarantees for small businesses decreased by 3.9 percentage points, resulting in a $1.5 billion decrease in subsidy costs. That effect was partly offset by an increase of $5.0 billion in proposed credit obligations (from $30.0 billion in 2022 to $35.0 billion in 2023), which increased the projected budgetary cost of the program by $0.6 billion on a fair-value basis. In all, the projected budgetary costs of the SBA’s 7(a) loan guarantees for small businesses decreased by $0.9 billion on a fair-value basis.

The impact of those two programs on the overall decrease in the fair-value subsidies for commercial loans was largely offset by an increase in the fair-value subsidy for the Department of Energy’s loans for advanced vehicle manufacturing. An increase in the fair-value subsidy rate of 18.5 percentage points—primarily due to a large increase in the projected default rate—raised the projected budgetary cost of the program by $2.0 billion on a fair-value basis. That effect was magnified by an increase of $5.9 billion in projected credit obligations (an increase of $1.0 billion in subsidy costs). In all, the projected budgetary cost of the program increased by $3.0 billion on a fair-value basis.

The projected cost of other existing programs in 2023 is $0.8 billion more than the cost projected last year for 2022 on a fair-value basis.

### Consumer Loans

The federal government also provides loans and loan guarantees to individual borrowers. In 2023, such credit assistance is projected to total $4 million for just two programs: the State Department’s repatriation loans and VA’s vocational rehabilitation loans. In most cases, those loans and guarantees are secured only by the borrower’s income and not by the borrower’s other assets, which increases the amount of market risk.

#### Projected Subsidies

Calculated on a FCRA basis, the average subsidy rate for consumer loans in 2023 is estimated to be 37.0 percent, and the lifetime budgetary cost is projected to be $1.5 million. Of the four categories that CBO has described in this analysis, credit assistance to consumers is the only one that has a largely positive subsidy rate when analyzed under FCRA procedures.

Calculated on a fair-value basis, the average subsidy rate for consumer loans in 2023 is estimated to be 47.8 percent, and the lifetime cost is projected to be $1.9 million. The difference in budgetary impact between the FCRA and fair-value estimates is $0.4 million. VA’s vocational rehabilitation loans have a maturity of one year with no expected defaults; thus, there is no risk adjustment for that program, and the fair-value estimate is the same as the FCRA estimate.

When CBO varied the loss multiple for the State Department’s repatriation loans by plus or minus 0.5, the resulting cost on a fair-value basis ranged from $1.4 million to $2.2 million, and the fair-value subsidy rate varied from 46.5 percent to 74.2 percent, with a central estimate of 62.6 percent.

---

25. The Administration now projects obligations in 2022 to be $7.3 billion, which is less than the amount projected in the 2022 budget and significantly more than the amount that the Administration has proposed for 2023.

26. The Administration now projects obligations in 2022 to be $30 billion, which is the same as the amount projected in the 2022 budget and less than the amount that the Administration has proposed for 2023.

27. The State Department provides emergency repatriation loans to destitute Americans abroad who are unable to finance their return to the United States.
Comparison With Last Year's Projections. The projected subsidy costs for consumer loans in 2023 are slightly greater than what was projected last year for 2022. The subsidy cost for the State Department's repatriation loan program increased by $0.2 million on both a FCRA and a fair-value basis because of a projected increase of $0.2 million in credit obligations from 2022 to 2023 and increases (of 1.8 percentage points on a FCRA basis and 3.8 percentage points on a fair-value basis) in the subsidy rates. The estimated FCRA and fair-value subsidy rate for VA's vocational rehabilitation loans rose from 0.2 percent to 0.8 percent.

Differences Between the Estimates Presented in This Report and CBO's Baseline Projections
CBO regularly projects loan volume and cash flows for the largest credit programs, including the Department of Education's student loan programs, Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's guarantees of mortgage-backed securities, the FHA's single-family and reverse mortgage guarantee programs, and VA's mortgage guarantee program. Those programs account for nearly 90 percent of total federal credit assistance. To compute the estimates in this analysis, CBO used its own baseline projections of the volume of loans and cash flows for those programs.

For smaller federal credit programs, which are mostly funded by discretionary appropriations, CBO generally projects that under current law, subsidy costs would grow at the rate of inflation—the same approach that the agency uses to project all discretionary appropriations. Because CBO does not estimate cash flows for those smaller credit programs, the agency based its subsidy estimates for those programs on cash flow estimates prepared by the Administration, which reflect the President's proposed funding for 2023. Nevertheless, in aggregate, CBO's baseline projections for federal credit programs are similar to those produced for this report using FCRA procedures.  

28. The Department of Transportation's TIFIA program is a case in which CBO's baseline projections differ substantially from the estimates in this report. The Federal-Aid Highway Program, which includes several grant programs in addition to the TIFIA loan program, receives funds for all of its programs in a single appropriation; CBO does not separately estimate what will be allocated to TIFIA. In the President's budget, the Administration proposed to separate TIFIA into its own program account.