## MEMORANDUM

TO: Interested Parties

## FROM: Deborah Kalcevic

 Justin HumphreySUBJECT: CBO March 2010 Baseline Projections for the Student Loan and Pell Grant Programs.

Attached are seven tables that detail the costs, loan volumes, and subsidy rates for both the guaranteed and direct student loan programs for the March 2010 CBO Baseline as well as a table that details the Pell grant program. There are two additional tables that detail the President's 2011 proposals for the mandatory education programs. Below each table is summarized:

Table 1. Includes the cost projection for each budget account associated with the student loan programs--the numbers shown are those in the CBO computer runs for Function 500

Table 2. Includes the loan volume and subsidy rate projections for all guaranteed and direct student loans.

Table 3. Includes the loan volume and subsidy rate projections for the guaranteed student loans.
Table 4. Includes the loan volume and subsidy rate projection for the direct student loans.
Table 5. Includes the detailed components of the federal student loan subsidy rates for fiscal year 2010
Table 6. Includes the Student Aid Administration expenditures.
Table 7. Includes the interest rate forecasts used in the projections of student loan costs.
Table 8. Includes the estimates of the Pell grant program.
Table 9. Includes the estimates of the President's proposal for the Pell grant program.
Table 10. Includes the estimates of the President's 2011 proposal for all mandatory education programs
For your information, below is a summary of the definitions of budget authority, program obligations, and outlays as they apply to the student loan program subsidy or "program" accounts:

Subsidy Budget Authority: Subsidy budget authority figures express what total subsidy costs would be if all the loans for which an application was received during a year were made. But all loans are seldom made--only about 90 percent. This is because prospective borrowers either do not show up for school or decide not to take all or part of the loan.

Subsidy Obligations: Subsidy obligations are the costs associated with all loans for which there actually will be at least one cash disbursement [shown on the attached tables as Net Annual Loan Volume]. Typically, the subsidy obligation estimates are not included on budget tables.

Subsidy Outlays: Subsidy outlays reflect the subsidy obligations adjusted for the timing of the loan dollar disbursements. Because of the multiple disbursement requirements and the timing of the academic school year calendars compared to the federal fiscal year, only about two-thirds of the dollars are disbursed to the borrowers during the first fiscal year. The remaining dollars are disbursed in the following year.

## Table 1

CBO March 2010 BASELINE BUDGET ACCOUNT TOTALS: STUDENT LOAN PROGRAM BASELINE


Note: Fiscal year 2010 credit reestimates included in the above estimates:

| Guaranteed Loan Program | BA | $\mathbf{- 7 , 4 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Program (Subsidy) Account | OP | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 1 - 0 2 3 1 - 0 1 - 5 0 2 ~ B A 0 3 , ~ B A 0 4 ~}$ | OL | $\mathbf{- 7 , 4 0 2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Direct Loan Program | BA | $\mathbf{- 2 , 5 8 3}$ |
| Program (Subsidy) Account | OP | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 1 - 0 2 4 3 - 0 1 - 5 0 2 ~ B A 0 5 , B A 0 6}$ | OL | $\mathbf{- 2 , 5 8 3}$ |



[^0]
## TOTAL ALL NEW LOANS [ New Guaranteed Loans Only ] a/

| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 59,324 | 54,171 | 49,690 | 47,256 | 48,127 | 49,471 | 50,855 | 52,256 | 54,075 | 55,950 | 58,150 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 12,995 | 11,848 | 10,868 | 10,287 | 10,341 | 10,494 | 10,669 | 10,870 | 11,157 | 11,451 | 11,807 |
| Average Loan Amount | $\$ 4,565$ | $\$ 4,572$ | $\$ 4,572$ | $\$ 4,594$ | $\$ 4,654$ | $\$ 4,714$ | $\$ 4,766$ | $\$ 4,807$ | $\$ 4,847$ | $\$ 4,886$ | $\$ 4,925$ |
| Subsidy Rate b/ c/ d/ | $-11.16 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ | $3.31 \%$ | $4.87 \%$ | $5.95 \%$ | $6.59 \%$ | $7.04 \%$ | $6.96 \%$ | $6.85 \%$ | $6.73 \%$ |

## SUBSIDIZED STUDENT LOANS [ New Guaranteed Loans Only ]

| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 21,817 | 19,564 | 17,780 | 16,844 | 17,008 | 17,219 | 17,514 | 17,895 | 18,341 | 18,801 | 19,273 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 5,957 | 5,424 | 4,987 | 4,743 | 4,760 | 4,790 | 4,843 | 4,920 | 5,012 | 5,106 | 5,202 |
| Average Loan Amount | $\$ 3,663$ | $\$ 3,607$ | $\$ 3,565$ | $\$ 3,551$ | $\$ 3,573$ | $\$ 3,595$ | $\$ 3,616$ | $\$ 3,637$ | $\$ 3,659$ | $\$ 3,682$ | $\$ 3,705$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidy Rate b/ c/ d/ | $8.23 \%$ | $20.96 \%$ | $20.37 \%$ | $17.68 \%$ | $19.10 \%$ | $20.16 \%$ | $20.84 \%$ | $21.30 \%$ | $21.37 \%$ | $21.43 \%$ | $21.45 \%$ |

## UNSUBSIDIZED STUDENT LOANS [ New Guaranteed Loans Only ]

| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 30,223 | 28,150 | 26,123 | 24,721 | 24,985 | 25,677 | 26,331 | 26,944 | 27,869 | 28,826 | 30,109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 6,195 | 5,595 | 5,074 | 4,743 | 4,760 | 4,864 | 4,967 | 5,074 | 5,248 | 5,429 | 5,670 |
| Average Loan Amount | \$4,879 | \$5,032 | \$5,148 | \$5,213 | \$5,249 | \$5,279 | \$5,301 | \$5,310 | \$5,310 | \$5,310 | \$5,310 |
| Subsidy Rate b/c/d/ | -18.04\% | -6.62\% | -2.36\% | 0.45\% | 2.27\% | 3.62\% | 4.47\% | 5.08\% | 5.17\% | 5.21\% | 5.24\% |
| LUS STUDENT LOANS [ New Guaranteed Loans Only ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 3,996 | 4,134 | 4,243 | 4,521 | 4,958 | 5,396 | 5,827 | 6,235 | 6,679 | 7,135 | 7,579 |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 261 | 268 | 277 | 296 | 323 | 350 | 378 | 404 | 432 | 460 | 488 |
| Average Loan Amount | \$15,317 | \$15,421 | \$15,306 | \$15,282 | \$15,358 | \$15,403 | \$15,430 | \$15,442 | \$15,471 | \$15,512 | \$15,536 |
| Subsidy Rate b/c/d/ | -38.12\% | -25.13\% | -20.45\% | -17.28\% | -15.19\% | -13.56\% | -12.54\% | -11.76\% | -11.66\% | -11.62\% | -11.58\% |
| T LOANS [ New Guaranteed Loans Only ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 3,289 | 2,322 | 1,545 | 1,169 | 1,176 | 1,180 | 1,182 | 1,183 | 1,186 | 1,188 | 1,190 |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 583 | 562 | 529 | 505 | 498 | 490 | 481 | 472 | 464 | 456 | 447 |
| Average Loan Amount | \$5,639 | \$4,134 | \$2,919 | \$2,315 | \$2,361 | \$2,408 | \$2,456 | \$2,506 | \$2,556 | \$2,607 | \$2,659 |
| Subsidy Rate b/c/d/ | -36.04\% | -24.40\% | -19.59\% | -16.47\% | -14.47\% | -13.40\% | -12.75\% | -12.34\% | -12.25\% | -12.21\% | -12.17\% |

a. Totals exclude consolidation loans. Components may not sum to totals due to rounding.
b. The subsidy rate is the net present value of the future federal cash flows associated with the loan dollars disbursed to borrowers each year. For example, the 2011 federal cost associated with the $\$ 55,171$ million in new loan volume would be
$\$ 190$ million or $.35 \%$ of the new loan volume [ $\$ 54,171 *-.0035=\$ 190]$. In other words, the federal government will save on average less than once cent for each new dollar loaned in 2011 .
c. Subsidy rates for guaranteed loans do NOT include federal administrative costs associated with disbursing and collecting loans. The administrative costs are included in the budget on a cash basis
d. Subsidy rates were calculated using the CBO March 2010 interest rate forecast.

| (by fiscal year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| TOTAL ALL NEW LOANS [ New Direct Loans Only ] a/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 49,181 | 61,500 | 69,178 | 72,210 | 73,305 | 74,990 | 76,735 | 78,524 | 80,867 | 83,273 | 86,097 |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 9,516 | 11,630 | 12,874 | 13,246 | 13,307 | 13,505 | 13,731 | 13,990 | 14,365 | 14,751 | 15,226 |
| Average Loan Amount | \$5,168 | \$5,288 | \$5,373 | \$5,452 | \$5,509 | \$5,553 | \$5,588 | \$5,613 | \$5,629 | \$5,645 | \$5,655 |
| Subsidy Rate b/c/d/ | -18.65\% | -14.79\% | -14.11\% | -12.97\% | -10.51\% | -7.67\% | -5.89\% | -3.93\% | -3.76\% | -3.77\% | -3.80\% |
| SUBSIDIZED STUDENT LOANS [ New Direct Loans Only ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 17,850 | 21,841 | 24,303 | 25,266 | 25,511 | 25,829 | 26,272 | 26,842 | 27,512 | 28,201 | 28,909 |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 4,182 | 5,078 | 5,610 | 5,797 | 5,818 | 5,855 | 5,919 | 6,014 | 6,126 | 6,241 | 6,358 |
| Average Loan Amount | \$4,268 | \$4,301 | \$4,332 | \$4,359 | \$4,385 | \$4,412 | \$4,438 | \$4,463 | \$4,491 | \$4,519 | \$4,547 |
| Subsidy Rate b/c/d/ | 1.37\% | 7.42\% | 3.62\% | 2.11\% | 4.32\% | 6.86\% | 8.45\% | 10.17\% | 10.34\% | 10.35\% | 10.34\% |
| UNSUBSIDIZED STUDENT LOANS [ New Direct Loans Only ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 22,055 | 27,541 | 30,840 | 31,784 | 32,124 | 33,013 | 33,855 | 34,642 | 35,832 | 37,062 | 38,711 |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 4,872 | 5,965 | 6,589 | 6,739 | 6,765 | 6,912 | 7,059 | 7,211 | 7,458 | 7,714 | 8,057 |
| Average Loan Amount | \$4,527 | \$4,617 | \$4,681 | \$4,716 | \$4,749 | \$4,776 | \$4,796 | \$4,804 | \$4,804 | \$4,804 | \$4,804 |
| Subsidy Rate b/c/d/ | -25.91\% | -22.73\% | -19.37\% | -16.63\% | -13.95\% | -10.85\% | -8.92\% | -6.84\% | -6.64\% | -6.64\% | -6.64\% |
| GRADPLUS STUDENT LOANS [ New Direct Loans Only ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 2,446 | 3,316 | 4,032 | 4,636 | 5,084 | 5,532 | 5,975 | 6,393 | 6,848 | 7,315 | 7,771 |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 131 | 173 | 217 | 239 | 261 | 283 | 305 | 326 | 349 | 371 | 394 |
| Average Loan Amount | \$18,610 | \$19,126 | \$18,563 | \$19,409 | \$19,505 | \$19,562 | \$19,596 | \$19,613 | \$19,649 | \$19,701 | \$19,732 |
| Subsidy Rate b/c/d/ | -40.44\% | -37.00\% | -33.26\% | -30.23\% | -27.24\% | -23.80\% | -21.66\% | -19.34\% | -19.12\% | -19.12\% | -19.12\% |
| PARENT LOANS [ New Direct Loans Only ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Annual Loan Volume (Mil \$) | 6,830 | 8,802 | 10,003 | 10,524 | 10,586 | 10,616 | 10,634 | 10,647 | 10,676 | 10,695 | 10,706 |
| Net Number of Loans (000) | 330 | 413 | 458 | 470 | 464 | 456 | 448 | 440 | 432 | 424 | 417 |
| Average Loan Amount | \$20,677 | \$21,297 | \$21,830 | \$22,376 | \$22,823 | \$23,280 | \$23,745 | \$24,220 | \$24,705 | \$25,199 | \$25,703 |
| Subsidy Rate b/c/d/ | -39.72\% | -36.68\% | -33.29\% | -30.51\% | -27.81\% | -24.74\% | -22.83\% | -20.77\% | -20.57\% | -20.57\% | -20.57\% |

[^1] new loan volume [ $\$ 61,500 *-.1479=-\$ 9,093]$. In other words, the federal government will save on average about 15 cents for each new dollar loaned in 2011.
c. Subsidy rates for guaranteed loans do NOT include federal administrative costs associated with disbursing and collecting loans. The administrative costs are included in the budget on a cash basis.
d. Subsidy rates were calculated using the CBO March 2010 interest rate forecast.

Detail of the Fiscal Year Values for the 2011 Federal Subsidy Rates 1/
[Note: the Federal Subsidy Rates are Shown in Percent for Each Dollar Loaned]

| Guaranteed Subsidized Loans: |  | Direct Subsidized Loans: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fees | -1.01\% | Fees | -0.50\% |
| In-School Interest Costs | 12.85\% | Disbursement | 99.49\% |
| 1./ Special Allowance Payments | 4.35\% | Principal Repaid | -68.16\% |
| Net Federal Claim Payments | 1.32\% | Interest Paid | -23.58\% |
| Other | 3.45\% | Other | 0.16\% |
| Total Subsidy Rate | 20.96\% | Total Subsidy Rate | 7.42\% |
| Guaranteed Unsubsidized Loans: |  | Direct Unsubsidized Loans: |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Fees } \\ & \text { In-School Interest Costs } \\ \text { 1./ } & \text { Special Allowance Payments } \\ & \text { Net Federal Claim Payments } \\ & \text { Other }\end{array}$ | -1.02\% | Fees | -0.50\% |
|  | 0.21\% | Disbursement | 99.51\% |
|  | -8.79\% | Principal Repaid | -78.35\% |
|  | 1.95\% | Interest Paid | -43.48\% |
|  | 1.02\% | Other | 0.10\% |
| Total Subsidy Rate | -6.62\% | Total Subsidy Rate | -22.73\% |
| Guaranteed GradPLUS Loans: |  | Direct GradPLUS Loans: |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Fees } \\ & \text { In-School Interest Costs } \\ \text { 1./ } & \text { Special Allowance Payments } \\ & \text { Net Federal Claim Payments } \\ & \text { Other }\end{array}$ | -4.00\% | Fees | -2.49\% |
|  | 0.33\% | Disbursement | 99.46\% |
|  | -20.96\% | Principal Repaid | -78.52\% |
|  | 1.69\% | Interest Paid | -55.46\% |
|  | -2.17\% | Other | 0.00\% |
| Total Subsidy Rate | -25.13\% | Total Subsidy Rate | -37.00\% |
| Guaranteed Parent Loans: |  | Direct Parent Loans: |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Fees } \\ & \text { In-School Interest Costs } \\ \text { 1./ } & \text { Special Allowance Payments } \\ & \text { Net Federal Claim Payments } \\ & \text { Other }\end{array}$ | -3.82\% | Fees | -2.49\% |
|  | 0.00\% | Disbursement | 99.45\% |
|  | -22.10\% | Principal Repaid | -74.08\% |
|  | 1.56\% | Interest Paid | -59.55\% |
|  | -0.06\% | Other | 0.00\% |
| Total Subsidy Rate | -24.40\% | Total Subsidy Rate | -36.68\% |

[^2]STUDENT AID ADMINISTRATION--March 2010 Baseline

| (by fiscal year, in millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | $\underline{2011}$ | $\underline{2012}$ | $\underline{2013}$ | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 | $\underline{2016}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ |
| Guaranteed Loans:Adminstrative Account: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BA | 763 | 748 | 726 | 721 | 759 | 818 | 890 | 967 | 1,044 | 1,118 | 1,169 |
| OL | 760 | 752 | 731 | 722 | 749 | 803 | 872 | 947 | 1,024 | 1,100 | 1,156 |
| Reserve Account: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BA | 34 | 34 | 39 | 47 | 55 | 62 | 35 | 43 | 51 | 58 | 66 |
| OL | 34 | 34 | 39 | 47 | 55 | 62 | 35 | 43 | 51 | 58 | 66 |
| Direct Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BA | 21 | 24 | 27 | 37 | 48 | 55 | 63 | 73 | 83 | 95 | 106 |
| OL | 21 | 23 | 26 | 35 | 45 | 53 | 61 | 70 | 81 | 92 | 104 |
| Subtotal, Mandatory: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BA | 818 | 805 | 792 | 805 | 862 | 935 | 988 | 1,082 | 1,178 | 1,272 | 1,342 |
| OL | 815 | 808 | 796 | 804 | 849 | 918 | 968 | 1,061 | 1,156 | 1,250 | 1,326 |
| Discretionary Administrative Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | fiscal y | r, in milli | s of doll |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| BA | 870 | 881 | 892 | 905 | 920 | 938 | 958 | 979 | 1,000 | 1,021 | 1,044 |
| OL | 861 | 863 | 884 | 897 | 911 | 927 | 946 | 966 | 987 | 1,008 | 1,030 |
| TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (by fiscal year, in millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2011}$ | $\underline{2012}$ | $\underline{2013}$ | 2014 | 2015 | $\underline{2016}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| BA | 1,688 | 1,686 | 1,684 | 1,710 | 1,782 | 1,873 | 1,946 | 2,061 | 2,178 | 2,293 | 2,386 |
| OL | 1,676 | 1,671 | 1,680 | 1,701 | 1,760 | 1,845 | 1,914 | 2,027 | 2,143 | 2,258 | 2,356 |

NOTE: Components may not sum to totals due to rounding.

## TABLE 7

CBO March 2010 Baseline Forecast

HISTORICAL INTEREST RAT
EER 91-Day Treasury Pil Rate: a/b/ $1981 \quad 15.25 \%$
$1982 \quad 12.09 \%$
1983 8.69\%
1984 9.89\%
1985 8.16\%
1986 6.63\%
1987 5.81\%
1988 6.39\%
$1989 \quad 8.42 \%$
990 7.92\%
1991 6.17\%
1992 3.89\%
1993 3.07\%
$19943.78 \%$
1995 5.65\%
1996 5.21\%
1997 5.17\%
1998 5.12\%
1999 4.55\%
$2000 \quad 5.73 \%$
2001 4.53\%
2002 1.78\%
2003 1.13\%
2004 1.12\%
2005 2.69\%
2006 4.57\%
2007 4.86\%
2008 2.18\%
$2009 \quad 0.21 \%$
PROJECTIONS OF INTEREST RATES BY FISCAL YEAR
BER 91-Day Treasury Bill Rate: a/b/
$2010 \quad 0.18 \%$
2011 0.47\%
2012 1.53\%
2013 2.78\%
2014 3.76\%
2015 4.23\%

BER 3-Month Commercial Paper Rate: a/b/
$1981 \quad 15.00 \%$
$1982 \quad 12.80 \%$
1983 8.87\%
1984 10.12\%
1985 8.39\%
1986 7.08\%
1987 6.37\%
1988 7.32\%
1989 9.07\%
1990 8.26\%
1991 6.61\%
1992 4.08\%
1993 3.31\%
1994 4.00\%
1995 5.98\%
1996 5.50\%
1997 5.59\%
1998 5.66\%
1999 5.15\%
2000 6.37\%
$2001 \quad 5.04 \%$
2002 1.88\%
2003 1.25\%
2004 1.26\%
2005 2.99\%
2005 2.99\%
2007 5.38\%
2008 3.43\%
2009 0.85\%

2010 0.27\%
2011 0.57\%
2012 1.69\%
2013 3.04\%
2014 4.07\%
2015 4.59\%

Discount Rate--10-Year Zero Coupon Bond c /
$2015 \quad 5.10 \%$
a. Because the costs of the student loan programs are estimated on a net present value basis, the interest rate forecast for the outyears is the rate which has the most impact on the federal cost of loans disbursed over the forecast period.
b. BER stands for bond equivalency rate.
. The cash flows of the student loan programs are discounted using a matrix of different zero coupon bonds. The rate shown here is the forecast for a 10 -year zero coupon bond for fiscal year 2015. It is shown here for illustrative purposes
(by fiscal year, budget authority, obligation level, and outlays in millions)

## PELL GRANT PROGRAM, DISCRETIONARY PORTION ONLY

CBO MARCH BASELINE a/

| Regular Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Authority | 17,495 | 17,652 | 17,827 | 18,020 | 18,230 | 18,510 | 18,825 | 19,157 | 19,489 | 19,822 | 20,160 |
| Outlays | 19,367 | 23,013 | 17,760 | 17,878 | 18,075 | 18,303 | 18,592 | 18,911 | 19,243 | 19,576 | 19,910 |
| Stimulus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget Authority | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outlays | 6,792 | 2,498 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget Authority | 17,495 | 17,652 | 17,827 | 18,020 | 18,230 | 18,510 | 18,825 | 19,157 | 19,489 | 19,822 | 20,160 |
| Outlays | 26,159 | 25,511 | 17,808 | 17,878 | 18,075 | 18,303 | 18,592 | 18,911 | 19,243 | 19,576 | 19,910 |

ESTIMATED PROGRAM COSTS USING A $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 8 6 0}$ MAXIMUM AWARD LEVEL

|  | 28,459 | 30,518 | 30,916 | 30,778 | 31,205 | 31,687 | 32,206 | 32,726 | 33,217 | 33,833 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obligation Level b/ | 26,159 | 28,985 | 30,605 | 30,875 | 30,895 | 31,331 | 31,823 | 32,341 | 32,853 | 33,378 |
| Outlays | 26,535 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ESTIMATED CUMULATIVE SHORTFALL/SURPLUS

|  | (by fiscal year, in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | c// | 2010

[^3] Outlays for future years are based on these levels of budget authority.
b. Obligation level is not adjusted to reflect the higher budget authority needed due to the cumulative funding shortfall.
c. Budget authority for fiscal year 2009 includes appropriations from both the Fiscal Year 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

PRESIDENT'S PROPOSAL FOR FEDERAL PELL GRANT PROGRAM, March 2010 Baseline


Mandatory Spending


## TABLE 10

President's 2011 Mandatory Education Proposals: (outlays by fiscal year, in millions of dollars) 1./

| CBO Reestimate of the President's Proposals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2011}$ | $\underline{2012}$ | $\underline{2013}$ | $\underline{2014}$ | $\underline{2015}$ | $\underline{2016}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | 2010-2015 | 2010-2020 |
| Pell Grant Entitlements | 1,933 | 14,240 | 33,208 | 34,602 | 38,240 | 36,938 | 38,707 | 40,763 | 43,032 | 45,719 | 48,613 | 159,160 | 375,995 |
| 100\% Direct Lending | -810 | -5,720 | -7,540 | -7,360 | -7,185 | -6,835 | -6,555 | -6,230 | -6,240 | -6,500 | -6,815 | -35,450 | -67,790 |
| IBR | 1,260 | 480 | 510 | 485 | 475 | 465 | 465 | 460 | 470 | 485 | 505 | 3,675 | 6,060 |
| HBCU | 13 | 140 | 232 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 1,150 | 2,425 |
| Graduation Promise Grants | 20 | 140 | 260 | 380 | 260 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Early Learning Challenge Fur | 31 | 456 | 588 | 899 | 966 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 3,940 | 8,940 |
| Access and Completion | 42 | 683 | 653 | 700 | 700 | 534 | 142 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,311 | 3,500 |
| Community Colleges/AGI | 3 | 140 | 790 | 965 | 1,358 | 793 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 4,048 | 8,798 |
| Perkins Loan | 0 | -816 | -901 | 96 | 20 | 204 | 340 | 448 | 496 | 508 | 500 | -1,396 | 897 |
| Other/Interactions | -35 | -120 | -110 | -85 | -80 | -70 | -65 | -55 | -50 | -55 | -55 | -500 | -780 |
| Total | 2,456 | 9,623 | 27,690 | 30,937 | 35,009 | 33,424 | 35,239 | 37,639 | 39,913 | 42,362 | 44,953 | 139,138 | 339,244 |

1. The format of this table is the same as the format for the President's Proposals included in Table S-8 of the Budget of the US Government Fiscal Year 2011.

[^0]:    a. Totals exclude consolidation loans. Components may not sum to totals due to rounding

    The subsidy rate is the net present value of the future federal cash flows associated with the loan dollars disbursed to borrowers each year. For example, the 2011 federal cost associated with the $\$ 115,670$ million in new loan volume would be - $\$ 8,903$ million or $-7,70 \%$ of th ew loan volume $[\$ 115,670 *-.0770=-\$ 8,903]$. In other words, the federal government will save on average about 7 cents for each new dollar loaned in 2011
    c. Subsidy rates for guaranteed loans do NOT include federal administrative costs associated with disbursing and collecting loans. The administrative costs are included in the budget on a cash basis.
    d. Subsidy rates were calculated using the CBO March 2010 interest rate forecas

[^1]:    a. Totals exclude consolidation loans. Components may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    b. The subsidy rate is the net present value of the future federal cash flows associated with the loan dollars disbursed to borrowers each year. For example, the 2011 federal cost associated with the $\$ 61,500$ million in new loan volume would be - $\$ 9,093$ million or $-14.79 \%$ of the

[^2]:    1./ Due to changes in the economic forecast, subsidy rates are substantially different for years later than 2011.
    2./ The loan consolidation fee is included with the special allowance payments because it offsets interest paid to lenders. For Parent and GradPLUS loans that are consolidated, that fee more than offsets the special allowance payments. Rebates to the government when borrower interest rates exceed the lender rates are also included.

[^3]:    a. The CBO March baseline does not represent a projection of expected costs for the Pell grant program. As with all other discretionary programs, the budget authority is calculated by inflating the budget authority appropriated for fiscal year 2010 .

