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Introduction

- CBO expects that the economic recovery will proceed at a modest pace, leaving the unemployment rate above 8 percent until 2012.

- There are fiscal policy options that, if applied at a sufficient scale, would increase output and employment during the next few years (but not overnight).

- Such options would have costs as well. Expansionary fiscal policy would increase federal debt, which is currently larger relative to the size of the economy than it has been in more than 50 years—and is headed higher.
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Note: The long-term unemployment rate is the percentage of people in the labor force who have been unemployed for longer than 26 weeks.
Fiscal Policy Options

- Options include changing many different types of federal spending and taxes.
- Two key questions:
  - What sorts of fiscal policies would encourage greater economic activity and more employment?
  - How can short-term fiscal stimulus be reconciled with the imperative to put fiscal policy on a sustainable medium-term and long-term path?
- CBO has done a substantial amount of analysis on both of those questions.
What Sorts of Fiscal Policies Would Encourage More Economic Activity?

- Policies can work through several channels, such as changing:
  - Demand for goods and services directly;
  - Current and/or expected income;
  - The payoff from extra work and saving;
  - The cost of investment.

- Many policies work through more than one channel.

- Predicting the effects of particular policies is difficult, and estimates are quite uncertain.
In CBO’s assessment, ARRA has boosted output and employment relative to what would have occurred otherwise. The alternative cannot be observed, so any assessment must be inferred indirectly.

Some concerns have been expressed about CBO’s approach—but we believe we have accounted for them or they have little impact under current circumstances:

- People saved, rather than spent, some of the tax cuts.
- Jobs are shifting to different sectors and regions.
- Interest rates might have been pushed up.
- Other economic activity was crowded out.
CBO’s Analysis of Some Specific Fiscal Options

- We studied temporary policy changes.
- We estimated the “bang for the buck” of different policies; the effect on the economy would also depend on the scale of the policies. Making a significant difference in an economy with output of nearly $15 trillion would involve a considerable budgetary cost.
- We analyzed illustrative policies and provided ranges of estimates to reflect uncertainty.
- We focused on the short-term effects of the policies.
Cumulative Effects of Policy Options on Employment in 2010 and 2011, Range of Low to High Estimates

- Increasing Aid to the Unemployed
- Reducing Employers' Payroll Taxes
- Reducing Employers' Payroll Taxes for Firms That Increase Their Payroll
- Reducing Employees' Payroll Taxes
- Providing an Additional One-Time Social Security Payment
- Allowing Full or Partial Expensing of Investment Costs
- Investing in Infrastructure
  - Providing Aid to States for Purposes Other Than Infrastructure
  - Providing Additional Refundable Tax Credits for Lower- and Middle-Income Households in 2011
  - Extending Higher Exemption Amounts for the Alternative Minimum Tax
- Reducing Income Taxes in 2011

Note: Assumes enactment early in 2010.
How Can Fiscal Stimulus be Reconciled with Putting Fiscal Policy on a Sustainable Path?

- There is no intrinsic contradiction between providing additional fiscal stimulus today, while the unemployment rate is high and many factories and offices are underused, and imposing fiscal restraint several years from now, when output and employment will probably be close to their potential.
- If taxes were cut permanently or spending increased permanently, that would worsen the fiscal outlook.
- Achieving both stimulus and sustainability would require a combination of policies: changes in taxes and spending that widen the deficit now, but reduce it relative to current projections after a few years.
CBO’s Analysis of Extending the Expiring Tax Cuts

- Extend the 2001 and 2003 tax cuts; extend higher exemption amounts for the AMT; reinstate the 2009 estate tax (adjusted for inflation).
- CBO presented the results in testimony to the Senate Budget Committee in September.
- The methodology was quite similar to the methodology we follow in analyzing the President’s budget each spring. We used several different models and made a range of different assumptions about people’s behavior.
Effects of Four Tax Policy Options on Real GNP in 2011 and 2012, Range of Low to High Estimates

- **Full Extension, Permanent**
- **Partial Extension, Permanent**
- **Full Extension, Through 2012**
- **Partial Extension, Through 2012**

![Chart showing the effects of tax policy options on real GNP in 2011 and 2012, with ranges and percentages indicated.](chart.png)
Effects of Four Tax Policy Options on Real GNP in 2020

- Full Extension, Permanent
- Partial Extension, Permanent
- Full Extension, Through 2012
- Partial Extension, Through 2012

Percent of Real GNP

- Weak Labor Response
- Strong Labor Response
Rising Burden of Federal Debt Held by the Public

Percentage of GDP

Actual Projected

Tax Cuts Extended and AMT Indexed

Discretionary Appropriations Rise with GDP

CBO's Baseline
Projected Federal Revenue and Spending in 2020

Current Law (Baseline)

Deficit ($0.7 trillion)
- Other Revenues
- Corporate Income Taxes
- Social Insurance Taxes
- Individual Income Taxes

Total Revenues $4.9 Trillion
Total Spending $5.5 Trillion

With Tax Cuts Extended and AMT Indexed

Deficit ($1.4 trillion)
- Other Revenues
- Net Interest
- Defense
- Medicaid, Health Insurance Subsidies, and Other Health Programs
- Medicare
- Social Security

Total Revenues $4.3 Trillion
Total Spending $5.8 Trillion
If Policymakers Want to Combine a Near-Term Boost with Medium- and Long-Term Restraint

- If policies were enacted that widen the deficit in the near term, observers might question whether, when, and how the difficult actions to narrow the deficit later would be carried out. To overcome their skepticism, those later actions would probably need to be specified and enacted into law.

- The enactment of policies that improved the budget outlook beyond the next few years would help to reduce uncertainty about government policies.
Conclusion

- The economic recovery will probably proceed at a modest pace—leaving total output well below its sustainable level, and the unemployment rate well above its sustainable level, for a number of years.

- There are fiscal policy tools that would improve economic conditions during the next few years—though with costs in the medium and long term.

- To avoid worsening the fiscal outlook, any policies that widened budget deficits in the near term would need to be accompanied by specific policies to reduce spending or increase revenue over time.