

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

May 7, 1998

H.R. 2556

Wetlands and Wildlife Enhancement Act of 1997

As ordered reported by the House Committee on Resources on April 29, 1998

SUMMARY

H.R. 2556 would reauthorize, through fiscal year 2003, appropriations for two programs of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). Specifically, the bill would authorize annual appropriations of \$30 million and \$6.25 million, respectively, for programs carried out under the North American Wetlands Conservation Act (NAWCA) and the Partnerships for Wildlife Act (PWA). The FWS uses appropriations authorized by these acts to fund a wide variety of activities including matching grants, cooperative projects, and land acquisition.

Assuming appropriation of the entire amounts authorized, CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 2556 would result in additional discretionary spending of about \$160 million over the 1999-2003 period. The legislation would not affect direct spending or receipts; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures would not apply. H.R. 2556 does not contain any intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The amounts authorized by H.R. 2556 are the same as the current authorization levels for both programs, but are significantly higher than the amounts appropriated for these activities in recent years. The 1998 appropriations for NAWCA and PWA are \$11.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. For purposes of this estimate, CBO assumes that the entire amounts authorized will be appropriated for each fiscal year and that outlays will follow historical spending patterns for each program. The estimated budgetary impact of H.R. 2556 is shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within budget function 300 (natural resources and environment).

| | | By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--|----------|------|------|------|--|
| | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | |
| SPENDING | SUBJECT T | O APPROI | PRIATION | | | | |
| Spending Under Current Law | | | | | | | |
| Budget Authority ^a | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Estimated Outlays | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Proposed Changes | | | | | | | |
| Authorization Level | 0 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | |
| Estimated Outlays | 0 | 20 | 32 | 36 | 36 | 36 | |
| Spending Under H.R. 2556 | | | | | | | |
| Budget Authority/Authorization Level ^a | 13 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | |
| Estimated Outlays | 12 | 24 | 33 | 36 | 36 | 36 | |

a. The 1998 level is the sum of amounts appropriated for that year for the programs carried out under NAWCA and PWA.

PAY-AS-YOU-GO CONSIDERATIONS: None.

ESTIMATED IMPACT ON STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS

H.R. 2556 contains no intergovernmental mandates as defined in UMRA and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments. State fish and wildlife agencies receive grants under the Partnerships for Wildlife Act. These federal funds must be matched by equal amounts of both state and private funds.

ESTIMATED IMPACT ON THE PRIVATE SECTOR

H.R. 2556 contains no private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA.

ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:

Federal Costs: Deborah Reis Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Marjorie Miller

ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:

Robert A. Sunshine Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis