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The information in this presentation is preliminary and is being circulated to stimulate discussion and critical comment as developmental work for analysis for the Congress.
The Department of Defense’s (DoD’s) operation and maintenance (O&M) account, the largest single appropriation category, funds DoD’s day-to-day operations, from equipment maintenance to health care.
Over the past few decades, funding for O&M has increased significantly, accounting for a growing share of DoD’s budget.

O&M funding for defense-wide agencies and programs has grown the most.
Trends in O&M Funding
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total DoD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defensewide</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
O&M funding has been increasing as measures of force size have been decreasing.
Trends in O&M Funding and Number of Active Duty Service Members
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O&M funding is used to purchase thousands of different goods and services that are often purchased at relatively low cost per unit.
CBO used the subactivity groups (SAGs) in DoD’s budget and their associated object class codes to group O&M funding into four categories.

The categories represent the activities supported, goods and services purchased, and source of those goods and services.
## Categories CBO Used to Organize O&M Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity or Function</td>
<td>The relation of the activity to combat forces</td>
<td>Combat forces, training, health care, administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity Class</td>
<td>The type of purchase</td>
<td>Goods, services, property-related management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>A more detailed description of the type of purchase</td>
<td>Maintenance, equipment, transportation, professional services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider</td>
<td>The source of the goods or services</td>
<td>Private sector, DoD working capital funds, nondefense government agencies and organizations, DoD's civilian employees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the activity category, a relatively small portion of the $198 billion in O&M funding in 2012 was for what CBO defined as forces (combat units)—$24 billion, or 12 percent.
Nearly half of O&M funding in 2012 ($91 billion) was for services.

Those services include maintenance, medical services, technical and research services, and transportation.

Maintenance accounted for one-third of funding for services ($32.4 billion).
Base Budget O&M Purchases, 2012

Billions of 2012 Dollars

Total base budget O&M: $198 billion
Billions of 2012 Dollars

Total Base Budget O&M: $198 Billion

Activity
- Forces (24.3)
- Support and Individual Training (74.1)
- Administration (33.5)
- Infrastructure (34.7)
- Health Care (31.4)

Commodity Class
- Goods (33.3)
- Services (90.6)
- Property (4.3)

Commodity
- Equipment (8.8)
- Supplies and Materials (20.8)
- Medical (19.2)
- Maintenance (32.4)
- Transportation (10.0)
- Technical and Research Services (11.9)
- Professional and Other Services (7.9)
- Installation Support (4.9)
- Other and Uncategorized (12.2)

Provider
- Private Sector (87.7)
- Working Capital Fund (37.6)
- Other Government Agencies (5.2)

Commodity Provider
- Fuel
- Equipment and Weapon Systems Maintenance
- Property Maintenance
- People Transport
- Materiel Transport

DoD Civilians (53.6)
Domestic Civilians
Foreign National Civilians
Classified (14.8)
Working capital funds (WCFs), which DoD uses to carry out businesslike activities, provide a large amount of goods and services to DoD.
A significant portion of the revenue for WCF activities comes from O&M accounts. In 2012, O&M accounts (including both base budget and OCO funding) provided $72.2 billion, or 66 percent, of total WCF external revenues.
Flows of Working Capital Funds for All Appropriations, in Base Budget and OCO Funding, 2012

Billions of 2012 Dollars

Source of Revenue

- Military Personnel, Military Construction, Family Housing, and Miscellaneous Accounts (11.9)
- RDT&E (5.2)
- Procurement (7.4)
- Operation and Maintenance (72.2)
- Non-DoD Customers (12.5)

Input Category

- Services (17.8)
- Other and Unknown (22.2)
- Goods (53.1)
- Civilian Pay (15.5)
- Research and Development (1.0)

WCF Purchases From Other WCFs (20.4)

All DoD Working Capital Funds (130.0)
CBO analyzed growth in O&M spending between 2000 and 2012.

The total growth during that period was $63 billion (47 percent), after removing the effects of inflation.
The two largest contributors to O&M growth were the Defense Health Program (DHP) and activities that support combat forces.

Among DoD’s components, defensewide activities accounted for the largest share of the growth.
Growth in Base Budget O&M from 2000 to 2012 by Service, Defensewide Organizations, and Activity
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Identifying the causes of growth in O&M funding is complicated by the diverse nature of the programs and activities supported by that appropriation.

The O&M budget can be divided into a few large accounts and a collection of much smaller accounts that are more difficult to track.
Remaining O&M comprises many smaller categories. The causes of growth in those categories are difficult to track.

CBO can explain the causes of growth in these four large categories.
CBO can explain about half of the growth in O&M funding, including funding for the DHP and civilian compensation.

CBO is working to explain the causes of growth within the remaining O&M categories. Those with significant growth include contracted facilities maintenance and contracted equipment maintenance.
Growth in Base Budget O&M for Equipment Maintenance and Property Maintenance, 2000 to 2012

Change in Billions of 2012 Dollars

- Organic Depot Maintenance of Weapon Systems ($9 billion)
- Contracted Depot Maintenance of Weapon Systems ($8 billion)
- Contracted Non-Depot Equipment Maintenance ($8 billion)
- Pentagon Reserve Maintenance Revolving Fund ($11 billion)
- Other Equipment and Facility Maintenance

Areas of circles correspond to the amount of spending for each category in 2012.
CBO’s next step will be to focus on specific areas of growth such as WCFs, the cost of operating weapon systems, and training. CBO is working with DoD to obtain better data on O&M spending for those follow-on analyses.