



**CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE  
COST ESTIMATE**

May 17, 2016

**S. 2375  
Federal Asset Sale and Transfer Act of 2015**

*As ordered reported by the Senate Committee on Homeland Security  
and Governmental Affairs on December 9, 2015*

**SUMMARY**

S. 2375 aims to better manage federal real property by reducing the inventory of such property and the cost of maintaining the remaining inventory. The bill would establish the Federal Real Property Reform Board (board) to provide recommendations to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regarding specific federal properties that should be sold. The board would be required to recommend at least five civilian facilities that should be offered for sale and that have a combined estimated fair market value of at least \$500 million. The legislation also would authorize the appropriation of \$2 million to fund the board and \$40 million to implement the board's recommendations.

Assuming appropriation of the specified amounts, CBO estimates that implementing S. 2375 would cost \$8 million in 2017 and about \$40 million over the 2017-2021 period. If the board's recommendations lead to the sale of facilities, the legislation also would result in additional receipts. However, CBO has no basis to estimate whether the board's recommendations would result in the sale of any properties that would not otherwise be sold under current law. Enacting the bill would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures do not apply.

CBO estimates that enacting S. 2375 would not increase net direct spending or on-budget deficits in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2027.

S. 2375 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

## ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The estimated budgetary effects of S. 2375 are shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within all budget functions that contain federal real property other than 050 (national defense).

	By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars					2017- 2021
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	
<b>CHANGES IN SPENDING SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATION</b>						
Federal Real Property Reform Board						
Authorization Level	2	0	0	0	0	2
Estimated Outlays	*	*	*	*	*	2
Asset Proceeds and Space Management Fund						
Authorization Level	40	0	0	0	0	40
Estimated Outlays	7	7	7	7	6	34
Other Requirements						
Estimated Authorization Level	1	*	*	*	*	3
Estimated Outlays	1	*	*	*	*	3
Total Changes						
Estimated Authorization Level	43	0	0	0	0	45
Estimated Outlays	8	7	7	7	6	39

Note: \* = less than \$500,000; components may not sum to totals because of rounding.

## BASIS OF ESTIMATE

For this estimate, CBO assumes that the legislation will be enacted near the end of 2016, that the authorized amounts will be appropriated, and that spending will follow historical patterns for similar management efforts.

### Federal Real Property Reform Board

S. 2375 would establish an independent board to recommend to OMB properties that could be sold in order to reduce the inventory of federal civilian real property. The board would consist of seven members appointed by the President. S. 2375 would specify two major objectives for the board. First, the Board would be required to identify and recommend the sale of at least five federal civilian properties with a combined estimated fair market value of at least \$500 million. Second, the legislation would require the board

to make annual recommendations for consolidating, exchanging, selling, or redeveloping federal properties to further reduce the inventory of civilian real property and to reduce operating costs. All recommendations made by the board would be available to the public on a government website.

Under the bill, the board would terminate after six years. S. 2375 would authorize the appropriation of \$2 million for the board's expenses. Assuming appropriation of those amounts, CBO estimates the board would spend about \$2 million over the 2017-2021 period.

### **Asset Proceeds and Space Management Fund**

S. 2375 would establish a fund to help agencies cover any costs associated with implementing the board's recommendations. During its six-year term, the board would primarily work with the General Services Administration (GSA) to consolidate, reconfigure, redevelop, or co-locate agency operations in order to make additional properties available for sale. The bill would authorize the appropriation of \$40 million for those purposes. Assuming appropriation of the specified amount, CBO estimates that agencies would spend about \$7 million annually over the 2017-2021 period to prepare federal properties for sale.

### **Other Requirements**

S. 2375 would require GSA and federal civilian agencies to prepare additional reports and recommendations about their real property holding and would require GSA to improve its database of federal property. CBO estimates that implementing those provisions would increase the workloads of GSA and other agencies. In addition, the Government Accountability Office would be required to report on all the recommendations. Based on information from GSA and some landholding agencies, CBO estimates that those activities would cost \$3 million over the 2017-2021 period; such spending would be subject to the availability of appropriated funds.

### **Effect on Federal Property Sales**

Under current law, before an agency can offer federal real property that it considers to be surplus for sale to the public, the agency must first offer that property to other federal agencies, state and local governments, and in some cases nonprofit organizations, at no cost. S. 2375 would exempt properties recommended for sale by the board from those requirements, except for purpose of alleviating homelessness. CBO does not expect that exemption would increase the proceeds from selling surplus properties above the expected amounts under current law because other barriers to selling such property will still exist.

Based on information from GSA and other agencies that hold significant amounts of real property, CBO has concluded that there are at least two other obstacles that constrain the amount of property offered for sale and ultimately sold to the public.<sup>1</sup>

- First, agencies generally lack funds to prepare properties for sale, including relocating any users of such properties. To help implement the board's recommendations, the bill would authorize appropriations to cover the costs of moving, consolidating, marketing, renovating property. However, those amounts may not be sufficient to cover such costs. Furthermore, any additional proceeds from sales would depend on the enactment of appropriated amounts and cannot be attributed to this bill.
- Second, many agencies resist efforts to sell property and prefer to leverage the value of their holdings rather than sell them outright. In most cases property-holding agencies do not have access to any of the proceeds from a sale of their property. However, they may have other authorities that enable them to add to their budgetary resources; for example, some agencies can lease unused real property and spend those proceeds on mission-related or administrative purposes. Whether or not the board that would be created by S. 2375 could overcome such resistance is unclear.

For these reasons, CBO has no basis to estimate whether the board's recommendations to sell at least five properties would result in additional receipts.

**PAY-AS-YOU-GO CONSIDERATIONS:** None.

## **INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND PRIVATE-SECTOR IMPACT**

S. 2375 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

---

1. For more information on the barriers to selling federal real property see Congressional Budget Office, [letter to the Honorable Darrell E. Issa containing an analysis of a proposal to expedite the disposal of federal civilian real property \(June 27, 2011\)](#).

**ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:**

Federal Spending: Matthew Pickford

Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Jon Sperl

Impact on the Private Sector: Paige Piper/Bach

**ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:**

H. Samuel Papenfuss

Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis