



## CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

Revised September 22, 2014

### **H.R. 647** **Achieving a Better Life Experience Act of 2014**

*As ordered reported by the House Committee on Ways and Means on July 31, 2014*

#### **SUMMARY**

H.R. 647 would allow for the creation of a new type of tax-favored account—an ABLE account—for the benefit of individuals with disabilities. Assets in an ABLE account and distributions from the account for qualifying expenses would be disregarded when determining the beneficiary’s eligibility for most federal means-tested benefits.

CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 647 would increase direct spending by \$1.2 billion over the 2015-2024 period. Additionally, the staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) estimates that enacting H.R. 647 would decrease revenues by \$0.8 billion over the 2015-2024 period. In total, CBO and JCT estimate that enacting the bill would increase deficits by \$2.0 billion over the next 10 years. Pay-as-you-go procedures apply because enacting the legislation would affect direct spending and revenues.

CBO has determined that the nontax provisions of the bill contain no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA). Similarly, JCT has determined that the tax provisions of the bill contain no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA.

#### **ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT**

The estimated budgetary impact of H.R. 647 is shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within budget functions 550 (health), 570 (Medicare), and 600 (income security).

---

**TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF H.R. 647**

---

	By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars										2015-	2015-
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2019	2024
<b>CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING</b>												
Estimated Budget Authority	1	12	31	47	78	109	150	202	243	280	170	1,153
Estimated Outlays	1	12	31	47	78	109	150	202	243	280	170	1,153
<b>CHANGES IN REVENUES</b>												
Estimated Revenues	-1	-4	-10	-23	-46	-77	-110	-148	-190	-233	-84	-842
<b>NET INCREASE IN THE DEFICIT FROM CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING AND REVENUES</b>												
Impact on Deficit	2	16	41	70	124	186	260	350	433	513	254	1,995

---

Sources: CBO and the staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation.

Note: Components may not sum to totals because of rounding.

---

## **BASIS OF ESTIMATE**

For this estimate, CBO assumes that the bill will be enacted near the beginning of fiscal year 2015. CBO also assumes that, under the bill, states would establish qualifying ABLE programs during the 2015-2017 period.

The ABLE Act would amend section 529 of the Internal Revenue Code to permit individuals to establish “ABLE accounts” for disabled beneficiaries that resemble the qualified tuition programs—often called “529 accounts”—that have been established under that section of the tax code since 1996. Earnings on an ABLE account would not count as taxable income of the contributor to the account or the eligible beneficiary; because earnings on assets in other accounts would generally be taxed under current law, the legislation would reduce tax revenues. Moreover, assets in an ABLE account and distributions from the account for qualified disability expenses would be disregarded when determining the qualified beneficiary’s eligibility for most federal means-tested benefits. Thus, the legislation would increase the number of beneficiaries of federal means-tested programs and federal spending from such programs.

## Key Characteristics of ABLE Accounts

ABLE accounts would have the following features:

- Any contributor—such as a family member, a friend, or the disabled person—could establish an ABLE account for an eligible beneficiary. An eligible beneficiary could have only one ABLE account, which must be established in the state in which he resides (or in a state that provides ABLE account services for his home state).
- An ABLE account may not receive annual contributions exceeding the annual gift-tax exemption. Additionally, a state must provide adequate safeguards to ensure aggregate contributions to an ABLE account do not exceed the state-based limits for 529 accounts.
- An eligible beneficiary would be a child who meets the Supplemental Security Income (SSI) program's disability standard for children or an adult who meets the SSI program's disability standard for adults, provided that the adult's disability occurred before he reached age 26.
- Qualified disability expenses would be any expenses made for the benefit of the disabled beneficiary related to education; housing; transportation; employment training and support; assistive technology and personal support services; health, prevention, and wellness; financial management and administrative services; legal fees; expenses for oversight and monitoring; funeral and burial expenses; and any other expenses approved by the Secretary of the Treasury under regulations.
- Earnings on an ABLE account and distributions from the account for qualified disability expenses would not count as taxable income of the contributor or the eligible beneficiary. Contributions to an ABLE account would have to be made in cash from the contributor's after-tax income.
- Assets in an ABLE account and distributions from the account for qualified disability expenses would be disregarded when determining the qualified beneficiary's eligibility for most federal means-tested benefits. For SSI, only the first \$100,000 in each ABLE account would be disregarded.
- Assets in an ABLE account could be rolled over without penalty into another ABLE account for either the qualified beneficiary or any of the beneficiary's qualifying family members. Any assets remaining in an ABLE account upon the death of the qualified beneficiary could be used to reimburse a state Medicaid agency for payments it made on behalf of the beneficiary.

## Effects on Direct Spending

CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 647 would increase direct spending by \$170 million over the 2015-2019 period and by about \$1,150 million over the 2015-2024 period (see Table 2). The SSI and Medicaid programs would each account for roughly half of the increase, with the Medicare program experiencing a very small increase in outlays.

**TABLE 2. ESTIMATED EFFECTS OF H.R. 647 ON DIRECT SPENDING, BY PROGRAM**

	By Fiscal Year, Outlays in Millions of Dollars											
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2015-2019	2015-2024
<b>CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING</b>												
Supplemental Security Income	*	5	15	25	45	60	80	110	125	135	90	600
Medicaid	1	7	16	22	33	49	69	91	117	144	79	549
Medicare	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>*</u>	<u>*</u>	<u>*</u>	<u>*</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>
Total Changes	1	12	31	47	78	109	150	202	243	280	170	1,153

Source: Congressional Budget Office.

Notes: Components may not sum to totals because of rounding.

\* = less than \$500,000.

**Supplemental Security Income.** CBO expects that the ABLE Act would increase SSI caseloads for two distinct groups of people:

- Individuals whose eligibility for SSI benefits was denied or interrupted because of excess resources (defined as assets exceeding \$2,000 for an individual or \$3,000 for a couple, excluding the value of a home, a vehicle, and several other categories of assets). Under current law, those individuals must spend down their excess resources before gaining or reestablishing eligibility for SSI. Under H.R. 647, many such individuals could establish an ABLE account more quickly than they could spend down excess resources—accelerating their participation in SSI by several months to several years. CBO anticipates that, by the 10th year after enactment, about one-third of the individuals who could accelerate their SSI eligibility by establishing an ABLE account would do so.

- Individuals who do not apply for SSI under current law because of excess resources, but who would meet SSI's age or disability requirement and income requirement. CBO anticipates that, by the 10th year after enactment, about 10 percent of the individuals in this group would establish an ABLE account.

Based on data from the Social Security Administration and the Current Population Survey, CBO estimates that SSI outlays would increase by roughly \$600 million (or one-tenth of one percent of benefit payments in that program) over the 2015-2024 period. CBO estimates that the average monthly SSI caseload would increase by around 25,000 (or about one-quarter of one percent) in 2024.

**Medicaid.** As discussed above, assets in an ABLE account would be disregarded when determining a qualified beneficiary's eligibility for most federal means-tested benefits. There are several pathways to Medicaid eligibility for disabled adults under the age of 65 that require individuals to meet both income and asset tests. CBO expects that enacting H.R. 647 would increase the number of disabled adults under the age of 65 who enroll in Medicaid because they could hold cash assets in an ABLE account that would not count against Medicaid eligibility. Because a beneficiary of an ABLE account must have a disability that occurred before he reached age 26, CBO does not expect an increase in the number of elderly individuals who enroll in Medicaid. Additionally, CBO does not expect that establishment of ABLE accounts would increase the number of children and nondisabled adults enrolled in Medicaid because those individuals are not required to meet an asset test under current law.

CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 647 would increase federal spending for Medicaid by about \$550 million over the 2015-2024 period. Many disabled adults who might have benefitted from the current legislation in the absence of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) are, or will soon become, eligible for Medicaid under current law. Under the ACA, states are permitted to expand their Medicaid programs to cover adults earning less than 138 percent of the federal poverty level. That pathway to Medicaid eligibility has a higher income limit than most of the income limits used to determine Medicaid eligibility for disabled adults, and it does not require individuals to meet an asset test. Therefore, CBO expects that enacting H.R. 647 would lead to an increase in enrollment of disabled adults to only a small degree in states that expand Medicaid eligibility under the ACA and to a larger degree in states that do not. Altogether, CBO estimates that enacting the bill would increase the number of disabled adults under age 65 who are covered by Medicaid by about 10,000 in 2024, or less than one-tenth of one percent of the number of nonelderly disabled Medicaid beneficiaries in that year.

**Low-Income Subsidy under Medicare Part D.** The Low-Income Subsidy (LIS) under Medicare’s prescription drug benefit program (known as Part D) provides premium and cost-sharing assistance to individuals whose income is up to 150 percent of the federal poverty level and whose assets do not exceed \$13,440 per individual or \$26,860 per couple in 2014. CBO estimates that LIS spending would increase by less than \$5 million over the 2015-2024 period. CBO expects that very few Medicare beneficiaries would be permitted to establish ABLER accounts because a beneficiary of an ABLER account must have a disability that was diagnosed before he or she reached age 26.

### **Effects on Revenues**

H.R. 647 would allow for the creation of a new type of tax-favored account—an ABLER account—for the benefit of individuals with disabilities. Qualified distributions from such accounts would include payments for education, medical and dental care, housing, community-based support services, moving, and funeral and burial services. For purposes of this estimate, JCT assumes that the provision allowing for the creation of ABLER accounts would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014. JCT estimates that the resulting reduction in federal tax collections would total \$842 million over the 2015-2024 period.

JCT’s estimate primarily reflects the revenue loss attributable to savings by households with qualified disabled dependents and incomes higher than \$40,000. Under H.R. 647, earnings on the assets deposited in ABLER accounts would be untaxed; in contrast, earnings on assets in other accounts would generally be taxed under current law. There would be an additional, but much smaller, revenue loss stemming from transfers from qualified disability trusts.

### **PAY-AS-YOU-GO CONSIDERATIONS**

The Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 establishes budget-reporting and enforcement procedures for legislation affecting direct spending or revenues. The net changes in outlays and revenues that are subject to those pay-as-you-go procedures are shown in the following table.

**CBO Estimate of Pay-As-You-Go Effects for H.R. 647 as ordered reported by the House Committee on Ways and Means on July 31, 2014**

	By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars												2014-	2014-
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2019	2024	
<b>NET INCREASE IN THE DEFICIT</b>														
Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Impact	0	2	16	41	70	124	186	260	350	433	513	254	1,995	
<b>Memorandum:</b>														
Changes in Outlays	0	1	12	31	47	78	109	150	202	243	280	170	1,153	
Changes in Revenues	0	-1	-4	-10	-23	-46	-77	-110	-148	-190	-233	-84	-842	

**INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND PRIVATE-SECTOR IMPACT**

CBO has determined that the nontax provisions of the bill contain no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA. CBO estimates that the provisions in the bill that would increase federal spending for Medicaid would similarly result in \$415 million of additional Medicaid spending by states over the 2014-2024 period. Those provisions, however, would not be intergovernmental mandates as defined by UMRA because Medicaid provides states with significant flexibility to make programmatic adjustments to accommodate the changes.

JCT has determined that the tax provisions of the bill contain no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA.

**PREVIOUS ESTIMATE**

On August 27, 2014, CBO transmitted a cost estimate for H.R. 647 as ordered reported by the House Committee on Ways and Means on July 31, 2014. That previous cost estimate included JCT’s estimate that enacting the bill would reduce revenues by \$898 million over the 2015-2024 period. JCT has revised its estimate and now expects that enacting the bill would reduce revenues by \$842 million over the 10-year period.

There is no change in the estimated effects on spending under the bill. On balance, using JCT’s updated estimate of H.R. 647’s effect on revenues, CBO now estimates that enacting the bill would increase deficits by \$2.0 billion over the 2015-2024 period.

**ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:**

Federal Spending: Tom Bradley, Andrea Noda, and David Rafferty  
Federal Revenues: Staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation  
Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: J'nell Blanco Suchy  
Impact on the Private Sector: Sam Trachtman

**ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:**

Peter H. Fontaine  
Assistant Director for Budget Analysis