

# CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

March 9, 2010

## H.R. 4715 Clean Estuaries Act of 2010

As ordered reported by the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure on March 3, 2010

#### **SUMMARY**

H.R. 4715 would extend the authorization of appropriations for the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) National Estuary Program through fiscal year 2016. Under current law, \$35 million is authorized to be appropriated each year through 2010, and enacting this legislation would increase that authorized annual funding level to \$50 million in subsequent years. Under the National Estuary Program, EPA develops plans for attaining or maintaining water quality in an estuary. CBO estimates that implementing this legislation would cost \$216 million over the 2011-2015 period, assuming appropriation of the authorized amounts.

Enacting H.R. 4715 would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures would not apply.

H.R. 4715 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

#### ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The estimated budgetary impact of this legislation is shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within budget function 300 (natural resources and environment).

		By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2011- 2015	
CHANGES IN SPENDING SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATION							
Authorization Level Estimated Outlays	50 23	50 43	50 50	50 50	50 50	250 216	

#### **BASIS OF ESTIMATE**

For this estimate, CBO assumes that the bill will be enacted in 2010 and that the amounts authorized will be appropriated each fiscal year beginning in 2011. Estimated outlays are based on historical spending patterns for the National Estuary Program.

### PAY-AS-YOU-GO CONSIDERATIONS: None.

#### INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND PRIVATE-SECTOR IMPACT

H.R. 4715 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

#### **ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:**

Federal Costs: Susanne S. Mehlman

Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Ryan Miller

Impact on the Private Sector: Amy Petz

#### **ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:**

Theresa Gullo

Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis