

# CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

July 24, 2008

# S.J. Res. 41

A joint resolution approving the renewal of import restrictions contained in the Burmese Freedom and Democracy Act of 2003

As reported by the Senate Committee on Finance on July 23, 2008

# SUMMARY

S.J. Res. 41 would renew for one year the ban on all imports from Burma. The ban was originally enacted as the Burmese Freedom and Democracy Act of 2003 (Public Law 108-61) and was set to expire on July 28, 2004. The ban has subsequently been renewed four times, most recently in Public Law 110-52, through its current expiration date of July 28, 2008. The original legislation limited renewals of the ban to a total of three years. The third renewal resolution increased that limit to six years, thereby allowing three additional one-year bans.

CBO estimates that extending the ban on U.S. imports from Burma would reduce federal revenues by less than \$500,000 in 2008 and by about \$2 million in 2009, with no effect thereafter. CBO estimates that enacting S.J. Res. 41 would not affect federal spending.

Under S.J. Res. 41, the President could lift the import restrictions if the State Peace and Development Council, the military regime of Burma, has made substantial and measurable progress to end violations of human rights, implemented a democratic government, and met its obligations under international counter-narcotics agreements. The President also would have the authority to terminate the restrictions upon the request of a democratically elected government in Burma or waive them in the national interest.

By renewing the ban on all imports from Burma, S.J. Res. 41 would impose private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA). CBO cannot estimate the cost of those mandates because information on the value of lost profits to importers resulting from the ban is not available. Thus, CBO cannot determine whether the aggregate direct cost of the mandates would exceed the annual threshold for private-sector mandates established by UMRA (\$136 million in 2008, adjusted annually for inflation). CBO has also determined that S.J. Res. 41 contains no intergovernmental mandates as defined in UMRA and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

#### ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

	By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars					
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
	CHANGES IN	N REVENU	ES			
Estimated Revenues	*	-2	0	0	0	0

The estimated budgetary impact of S.J. Res. 41 is shown in the following table.

#### **BASIS OF ESTIMATE**

Under S.J. Res. 41, the President would have the authority to lift or waive the ban imposed by the resolution. For this estimate, CBO assumes that the President would not exercise this authority before the termination of the one-year ban.

Based on data from the U.S. International Trade Commission on recent U.S. imports from Burma and CBO's most recent forecast of total U.S. imports, CBO estimates that enacting S.J. Res. 41 would reduce federal revenues by less than \$500,000 in 2008 and by about \$2 million in 2009, net of income and payroll tax offsets.

In years just before the import ban first went into effect, over half of all U.S. imports from Burma were knitted or crocheted clothing and apparel goods. The remaining imports included apparel items not knitted or crocheted, certain types of fish and crustaceans, goods made of wood, certain precious and semiprecious stones and metals, and woven fabrics and tapestries. In 2001 and 2002, roughly 80 percent of duties collected on these imports came from knitted and crocheted articles. CBO assumes that most of the banned imports would be replaced with imports from other countries.

The President could remove the ban on imports upon the request of a democratically elected government in Burma or if he were to determine and notify the Congress that to do so is in the national interest. Should the ban be lifted, U.S. companies would be allowed to resume importation of goods produced, manufactured, grown, or assembled in Burma. If such an action were taken during the 2008-2009 period, the impact on federal revenues would be reduced accordingly.

# ESTIMATED IMPACT ON STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS

CBO has determined that S.J. Res. 41 contains no intergovernmental mandates as defined in UMRA and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

#### ESTIMATED IMPACT ON THE PRIVATE SECTOR

By renewing the ban on all imports from Burma, S.J. Res. 41 would impose private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA. CBO cannot estimate the cost of those mandates because information on the value of lost profits to importers resulting from the ban is not available. Thus, CBO cannot determine whether the aggregate direct cost of the mandates would exceed the annual threshold for private-sector mandates established by UMRA (\$136 million in 2008, adjusted annually for inflation).

# **ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:**

Federal Revenues: Zachary Epstein Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Neil Hood Impact on the Private Sector: Dang Du and MarDestinee C. Perez

#### **ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:**

G. Thomas Woodward Assistant Director for Tax Analysis