



## CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

July 20, 2001

### **ILSA Extension Act of 2001**

*As ordered reported by the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs  
on July 18, 2001*

The ILSA Extension Act of 2001 would extend the authorities of the Iran and Libya Sanctions Act (ILSA) of 1996 for an additional five years through 2006. The bill would lower the threshold of investments in Libya that could trigger sanctions under the act from \$40 million to \$20 million, and it would revise the definition of investment to include any amendment or modification of existing contracts that would exceed the threshold amount. CBO estimates that implementing the bill would not significantly affect discretionary spending. The bill would not affect direct spending or receipts; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures would not apply.

Based on information from the Department of State, CBO estimates that the ILSA Extension Act of 2001 would result in a substantial increase in the number of investments in Libya that could be subject to the sanctions in ILSA. CBO estimates that the additional workload necessary to identify such investments would increase the department's spending by less than \$500,000 annually, assuming the availability of appropriated funds.

By extending the Iran and Libya Sanctions Act, the ILSA Extension Act of 2001 could impose a private-sector mandate as defined by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA). The President would be required to impose certain sanctions on U.S. entities or foreign companies that invest over a specific amount of money in developing the petroleum and natural gas resources of Iran or Libya. Among the sanctions available under the act, the President could impose certain restrictions on U.S. offices of a sanctioned company or on entities and financial institutions engaged in business transactions with a sanctioned entity. The act does, however, allow the President the discretion to make exceptions in applying such sanctions. Since passage of ILSA, no such sanctions have been imposed. Consequently, CBO expects that sanctions are unlikely to be imposed under the extension and that the direct cost of the mandate would fall below the annual threshold established by UMRA for private-sector mandates (\$113 million in 2001, adjusted annually for inflation).

The ILSA Extension Act of 2001 contains no intergovernmental mandates as defined in UMRA and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

CBO prepared two estimates for the House companion bill, H.R. 1954. The first estimate was for H.R. 1954 as ordered reported by the House Committee on International Relations on June 20, 2001. The second estimate was for H.R. 1954 as ordered reported by the House Committee on Ways and Means on July 12, 2001. The International Relations Committee version of H.R. 1954 is similar to the Senate bill. The Ways and Means Committee version would require the President to report to the Congress on the effectiveness of actions taken under ILSA within 18 months after enactment, and it would provide for the early termination of that act at any time after submission of the report. CBO estimated that implementing either version of H.R. 1954 would not significantly affect discretionary spending and that the cost of the private-sector mandate would fall below the annual threshold established by UMRA.

The CBO staff contact for federal costs is Joseph C. Whitehill. The CBO staff contact for private-sector mandates is Paige Piper/Bach. This estimate was approved by Peter H. Fontaine, Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis.