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Deficits or Surpluses, Historically and As Projected in CBO’s Baseline
(Percentage of GDP)

Estimates from The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2012 to 2022 (January 2012).
What Policy Assumptions Underlie the Baseline and the Alternative Fiscal Scenario?

Baseline Projections: Current law.

Alternative Fiscal Scenario:
- All expiring tax provisions (other than the payroll tax reduction) are extended.
- The alternative minimum tax (AMT) is indexed for inflation after 2011.
- Medicare’s payment rates for physicians’ services are held constant at current level.
- The automatic spending reductions required by the Budget Control Act do not take effect (although the original caps on discretionary appropriations remain in place).
Deficits or Surpluses, Historically and As Projected in CBO’s Baseline and Under the Alternative Fiscal Scenario
(Percentage of GDP)

Federal Debt Held by the Public, Historically and Projected in CBO’s Baseline and Under the Alternative Fiscal Scenario
(Percentage of GDP)

Harms of High Federal Debt

- Reduces the amount of saving devoted to productive capital and thus results in lower incomes than would otherwise occur.
- Leads to high interest payments, meaning that additional tax increases or spending cuts are needed to make fiscal policy sustainable.
- Makes it harder for policymakers to respond to unexpected problems, such as financial crises, recessions, and wars.
- Increases the likelihood of a fiscal crisis.
Where Do We Stand?

- Federal government debt is already larger relative to GDP than it has been in about 60 years.

- High levels of debt cause significant harms.

- Under what many people would consider to be current tax and spending policies, debt will continue to rise much faster than GDP.

Clearly, we need to change policies in significant ways.
We Cannot Go Back to the Past Combination of Tax and Spending Policies

• Aging of the population: The number of people age 65 or older will increase by about one-third in the next 10 years.
• Rising costs for health care: During the past 25 years, health care spending per person in this country has increased nearly 2 percentage points faster per year than GDP per person.

These factors and others mean that, in CBO’s projections for 2022 under the alternative fiscal scenario, outlays for Social Security and the major federal health care programs are 12.8 percent of GDP, compared with an average of 7.3 percent during the past 40 years.
We Will Need to Adopt A New Combination of Tax and Spending Policies

Achieving a sustainable federal budget will require the United States to deviate from the policies of the past several decades in at least one of the following ways:

• Raise federal revenues significantly above their average share of GDP;
• Make major changes to the sorts of benefits provided for Americans when they become older; or
• Substantially reduce the role of the rest of the federal government relative to the size of the economy.

The last of these three will occur by the end of the coming decade under current law and, to a lesser extent, under the alternative fiscal scenario.
Federal Spending

Apart from interest payments on the debt:

• Mandatory spending: primarily benefit programs governed by rules for eligibility and benefit formulas.

• Discretionary spending: policymakers decide each year how much money to provide for given activities.
Components of Mandatory Spending, Historically and Under the Alternative Fiscal Scenario
(Percentage of GDP)

Estimates from The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2012 to 2022 (January 2012).
Discretionary Funding for 2012: $1,251 Billion

Defense
$670 Billion
- Military Personnel (23%)
- Operation and Maintenance (42%)
- Procurement (18%)
- Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (11%)
- Other DoD (4%)
- Other Non-DoD (2%)

Nondefense
$581 Billion
- Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (16%)
- Transportation (15%)
- Income Security (10%)
- Veterans' Benefits and Services (10%)
- Health (10%)
- International Affairs (10%)
- Administration of Justice (9%)
- Other (21%)

[On March 5, 2012, CBO corrected an error in citing the amount for nondefense discretionary funding; the total amount for discretionary funding was also corrected.]
Discretionary and “Other Mandatory” Spending, Historically and Under the Alternative Fiscal Scenario (Percent of GDP)

Estimates from *The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2012 to 2022* (January 2012). “Other Mandatory” includes programs designed to provide income security, such as the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program and unemployment compensation; retirement benefits for civilian and military federal employees; benefits for veterans; support for agriculture; and other activities. Estimates incorporate the assumption that the automatic spending reductions required by the Budget Control Act do not take effect, although the original caps on discretionary appropriations remain in place and are met through proportional reductions in defense and nondefense discretionary budget authority.
Under the Alternative Fiscal Scenario

All federal spending apart from Social Security, the major federal health care programs, and interest would be 7.8 percent of GDP in 2022—the lowest share in more than 40 years and roughly two-thirds of its average share over that period.

Under current law and, to a lesser extent, the alternative fiscal scenario, the country is on track to substantially reduce most federal activities relative to the size of the economy compared with the experience of the past several decades.

That substantial reduction is not enough to offset the increased burden on the budget from rising spending for Social Security and the major federal health care programs. Something else must be changed as well.
Components of the Federal Budget as Shares of GDP: 1972-2011 Average and 2022 Projection Under the Alternative Fiscal Scenario

(Percentage of GDP)

- Social Security and Major Federal Health Care Programs: 1972–2011 Average - 7.3%, 2022 Projection - 12.8%
- All Other Programs: 1972–2011 Average - 11.4%, 2022 Projection - 11.4%
- Net Interest: 1972–2011 Average - 2.2%, 2022 Projection - 3.8%
- Total Outlays: 1972–2011 Average - 21.0%, 2022 Projection - 24.4%
- Total Revenues: 1972–2011 Average - 17.9%, 2022 Projection - 18.3%
- Deficit: 1972–2011 Average - 3.0%, 2022 Projection - 6.1%

Estimates from The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2012 to 2022 (January 2012).
How Large Do Policy Changes Need to Be?

To keep debt from rising relative to GDP, the deficit in 2022 would need to be about 3½ percent of GDP smaller than under the alternative fiscal scenario—or about $900 billion smaller. If changes in policy started to take effect soon but were phased in gradually, interest savings might be about $150 billion.

Then, if other spending was left at two-thirds of its average share of GDP during the past 40 years, the changes in Social Security, health care programs, and taxes would need to total about $750 billion in 2022.

If the changes occurred entirely in Social Security and health care programs, the cuts would be about one-quarter of what would be spent in those categories without policy changes. If the changes occurred entirely in taxes, the increases would be about one-sixth of what would be collected in taxes without policy changes.
One Approach
(and Some Specific Options):

Reduce Spending on Social Security and the Major Federal Health Care Programs
Spending on Social Security and Major Federal Health Care Programs, Historically and Under the Alternative Fiscal Scenario

(Percentage of GDP)

# Increase the Eligibility Ages for Social Security and Medicare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Option</th>
<th>Annual Budget Impact at End of Decade*</th>
<th>Some Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raise the Medicare eligibility age gradually from 65 to 67</td>
<td>$32 Billion</td>
<td>Would result in delayed access to Medicare for most people; many of the affected people would pay more for health care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raise the full retirement age for Social Security gradually from 67 to 70</td>
<td>$31 Billion</td>
<td>Would result in reduced benefits over a lifetime</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some Other Ways to Cut Social Security Spending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Option</th>
<th>Annual Budget Impact at End of Decade*</th>
<th>Some Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Social Security cost-of-living adjustments on the chained CPI</td>
<td>$22 Billion</td>
<td>Would reduce benefits especially for older beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link initial Social Security benefits to average prices instead of average earnings</td>
<td>$41 Billion</td>
<td>Would no longer allow beneficiaries to share in overall economic growth (although average inflation-adjusted benefits would not decline over time)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Some Other Ways to Cut Federal Health Care Spending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Option</th>
<th>Annual Budget Impact at End of Decade*</th>
<th>Some Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convert the federal share of Medicaid’s payments for long-term care services into block grants with grants indexed to changes in the ECI</td>
<td>$58 Billion</td>
<td>Would shift some of the burden and risks of growing Medicaid costs to the states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase cost-sharing in Medicare (including changes in Medigap)</td>
<td>$13 Billion</td>
<td>Would strengthen incentives for more prudent use of medical services but would boost cost-sharing burden on beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the basic premium for Medicare Part B from 25 percent to 35 percent of the program’s costs</td>
<td>$40 Billion</td>
<td>Would reduce disposable income for many Part B enrollees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another Approach
(and Some Specific Options):

Increase Taxes
Revenues Projected in CBO’s Baseline and Under the Alternative Fiscal Scenario

(Percentage of GDP)

Selected Major Tax Expenditures in 2012, Compared with Other Categories of Revenues and Outlays
(Percentage of GDP)

Estimates from *The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2012 to 2022 (January 2012).*
### Some Ways to Reduce Tax Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Option</th>
<th>Annual Budget Impact at End of Decade*</th>
<th>Some Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gradually eliminate the mortgage interest deduction</td>
<td>$75 Billion</td>
<td>Would improve the allocation of resources in the economy but could delay the recovery of the housing sector and reduce the rate of homeownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate the deduction for state and local taxes</td>
<td>$107 Billion</td>
<td>Would take away a subsidy for state and local governments but could (depending on one’s views) hinder equity in the tax system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Option</th>
<th>Annual Budget Impact at End of Decade</th>
<th>Some Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allow certain income tax and estate and gift tax provisions to expire as scheduled on December 31, 2012, and do not index the AMT for inflation</td>
<td>$633 Billion*</td>
<td>Would reduce people’s incentives to work and save, and cause economic resources to be allocated less efficiently; also would alter the distribution of taxes across households</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow lower income tax rates on incomes in excess of $250,000 for couples filing jointly ($200,000 for other filers) originally enacted in 2001 to expire as scheduled on December 31, 2012</td>
<td>Between $100 and $150 Billion**</td>
<td>Same as above but to a lesser extent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


** Rough calculation based on estimates from *An Analysis of the President's Budgetary Proposals for Fiscal Year 2012* (April 2011) and *The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2012 to 2022* (January 2012).
Summary

• To put the federal debt on a sustainable path, we need to change policies in significant ways.

• All federal spending apart from Social Security, the major federal health care programs, and interest is on track to be smaller relative to GDP by 2022 than at any point in the past 40 years—and only about two-thirds of its average share of GDP during that period.

• If that outcome is achieved, putting federal debt on a sustainable path still requires changes in Social Security, the major federal health care programs, and taxes that amount to about $750 billion in 2022.
Summary (cont.)

To meet that target for 2022:

• If we extend the expiring tax provisions (other than the payroll tax reduction) and index the AMT for inflation, as described in the alternative fiscal scenario, spending on Social Security and the major federal health care programs would need to be cut by about one-fourth. Because most such spending goes to people over age 65, a cut of that magnitude would represent a major change to the sorts of benefits provided for Americans when they become older.

• If we do not change spending on Social Security and the major federal health care programs, tax revenue would need to be increased by about one-sixth. Such an increase would raise federal revenues significantly above their average share of GDP in the past several decades.
Our country faces fundamental choices about federal benefits and services and about the federal taxes needed to pay for them. Unless we cut federal spending apart from Social Security and the major health care programs below the unusually low share of GDP it is already projected to reach by the end of the coming decade, stabilizing federal debt relative to GDP will require us to cut spending on Social Security and federal health care programs by about one-quarter, raise taxes by about one-sixth, or do some combination of those approaches.